**Original Research** 

Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research (2022) Volume 12, Issue 5, 641-644

## Seasonal Impact on the Prevalence of Yeast Contamination of Chicken Meat Products and Edible Giblets

## Fahim A. Shaltout<sup>1\*</sup>, Ramadan M. Salem<sup>2</sup>, Eman M. Eldiasty<sup>2</sup>, Fatma A. Diab<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Mycology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

\***Correspondence** Fahim, A. Shaltout Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt. E-mail address: fahim.shaltout@fvtm.bu.edu.eg

#### Abstract

Total of ninety random samples of raw chilled whole chicken carcass, chicken fillet and chicken giblet, 30 of each, were randomly collected from butchers located in Qalubiya governorate, Egypt, and were subjected to mycological examination for the incidence of yeast species contamination during summer and winter seasons, samples were collected equally during each season (15 samples of each product/season). Results revealed contamination of 100 and 93.3% of the whole examined samples during summer and winter seasons, respectively; where giblet samples showed the highest contamination levels with mean count of 3.8 and 3.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively, followed by fillet and whole carcass, respectively. *Candida* and *Rhodotorula* species were detected in the incidence of 60% and 40% of the examined samples during summer and winter seasons, respectively indicated that the levels of chicken meat contamination with yeast is higher in summer season than winter season. After all, the application of strict hygienic measures is highly recommended during processing and storage of raw chicken meat cuts, as well as keep raw chicken cuts chilled to avoid the enhancement of hot and humid climate of summer season on the microbial growth.

KEYWORDS Seasonal variation, Yeast count, Chicken meat, Egypt.

#### INTRODUCTION

Many the as of late noticed environmental changes have not been common throughout the first of twentieth century (Edris *et al.*, 2012a; IPCC, 2013). The global extended environmental changes, including temperature and precipitation measurements, are well known recently that is affecting the lifestyle not only for human being, but also for the microbial populations (Edris *et al.*, 2012b; Lucette *et al.*, 2018).

Although it is of high nutritious value, chicken and chicken meat products are strongly affected by climate changes microbiologically (Abioja and Abiona, 2021).

Yeast is a saprophytic microscopic organism, while few species are pathogenic (Abd-Elrahman *et al.*, 2013). Yeast's chicken meat contamination usually occurs during the processing sequence starts from the slaughtering plan during scalding, defeathering, evisceration, cooling, packing, in addition to transportation and storage (Marmion *et al.*, 2021).

Yeast represents a great cause of chicken meat spoilage due to its ability to proliferate in a wide range of pH and temperatures, resulting in different scales of flavor, color, and wholesome affects (Shaltout *et al.*, 2014a). Moreover, *Candida* species, as a yeast genus, was reported to have a great health hazard as it may cause many lesions in the gastrointestinal tract such as stomatitis, diarrhea, and intestinal disturbance and in different other organs as vaginitis, pulmonary thrush, meningitis as was recorded by CDC (2022).

*Rhodotorula* sp. are universal saprophytic yeasts that can be recuperated from numerous natural sources. It has been arisen as pioneering microorganisms that is capable to colonize and infect immunocompromised human and animals. The most well-known *Rhodotorula* caused sicknesses included solid and hematologic malignancies, some of the other localized infections include skin, ocular, peritoneal, and joint infections (Shaltout and Edris., 1999; Wirth and Goldani, 2012).

In Egypt, seasonal humidity and temperature wide variation between summer and winter quarters is significantly affects the susceptibility of microbial growth and rate of foodborne contamination. The high temperature and humidity in summer support bacterial and fungal growth in various food items (Koluman *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, the current investigation aimed to correlate the seasonal variation with the prevalence of yeast contamination in different chicken meat products in Qalubiya governorate, Egypt.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Collection of chicken meat product samples

A grand total of ninety random samples of raw chilled whole chicken carcass, chicken filler and chicken giblets (liver, gizzard and heart) (30 of each) were randomly collected from different

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. ISSN: 2090-6277/2090-6269/ © 2011-2022 Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research. All rights reserved.

butchers in Qalubiya governorate, Egypt, during the period of summer and winter seasons, where 15 samples of each product were collected per each season.

Samples were insulated separately in sterile plastic bag and transported in ice box as soon as possible to Animal Health Research Institute-Mycology lab. for enumeration, detection, and identification of yeast sp. isolated from the examined products.

# Determination of the yeast count in the examined chicken meat products

After preparation of the samples following ISO 6887-2 for preparation of tenfold serial dilutions, 0.1ml of each serial dilution was spread over Dicloran rose Bengal chloramphenicol agar (DRBC) (lab M) and incubated at  $25.0 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ C for 5-7 days aerobically according to ISO 21527-1. Yeast colonies was counted, recorded, and sub-cultured for further identification.

#### Identification of yeast isolates

The simplified identification method (SIM), according to Deák and Beuchat (1996), was used. The procedure was carried out in two phases. First, a preliminary grouping of the isolates was made on the basis of the results of six tests (urease reaction, growth in the presence of 0.1% cycloheximide, and the assimilation of nitrate, erythritol, mannitol and cellobiose). In addition, all isolates were examined microscopically and for colony morphology. In the second phase, additional tests selected according to each group were performed to achieve and confirm identification. These tests included growth at 37°C, the formation of starch-like compounds, the assimilation of L-arabinose, cadaverine, ethylamine, galactose, glucuronate, inositol, 2-ketogluconate, lysine, maltose, melibiose, methyl-a-glucoside, raffinose, and fermentation of glucose.

#### Statistical analysis

The obtained data were subjected to two-way ANOVA using SPSS software (version 18) according to IBM (2019).

### RESULTS

Referring to the obtained results in Table 1, Yeast sp. was detected in 100% of the examined samples during summer season, while 93.3% of the examined samples had yeast contamination during winter season. In addition, chicken giblets showed the highest yeast count during summer and winter seasons with mean values of  $3.9\pm0.05$  and  $3.0\pm0.05 \log_{10}$  CFU/g, respectively. significant difference was detected between the same examined chicken meat product in different seasons when P<0.05.

In addition, identification of the detected yeast isolates revealed isolation of different *Candida* and *Rhodotorula* genera in different incidence as was recorded in Table 2; where the examined samples showed higher yeast contamination levels during summer than the winter seasons as was tabulated in Table 3, where 181 yeast isolates were defined as 101 and 80 *Candida* and *Rhodotorula* isolates. The examined giblet samples showed the highest contamination levels with 46 (25.4%) and 32 (17.7%), followed by fillet and whole carcass samples during summer and winter seasons, respectively, indicating the higher affinity of yeast contamination and multiplication in hot humid environment of summer season than in winter season.

Table 1. Statistical anal	vtical results of yeast in the	examined chicken meat sam	ples (n=15/season).

		Positive	Yeast count (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)			
Product	Sun	nmer	Wi	nter	Summer	Winter
	No.	%	No.	%	Mean	$n \pm SD$
Chicken carcass	15	100	12	80	3.5±0.04 <sup>a1</sup>	2.4±0.2 <sup>b2</sup>
Chicken fillet	15	100	15	100	$3.7{\pm}0.07^{a1}$	$2.9{\pm}0.13^{a2}$
Chicken giblets	15	100	15	100	$3.9{\pm}0.05^{\rm al}$	$3.0{\pm}0.05^{\rm a2}$
Total	45	100	42	93.3		

<sup>a,b</sup> Different superscript letter means significant difference between the examined products within the same column when P≤0.05.

 $^{1.2}$  Different superscript letter means significant difference between the same examined product within the same row when P $\leq 0.05$ .

Season			Sun	nmer			Winter								
Chicken meat product	Carcass		Fillet		Gi	Giblet		Carcass		Fillet		Giblet			
Isolated yeast genera	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
Candida species											-				
C. albicans	2	13.3	9	60	12	80	ND	-	5	33.3	7	46.7			
C. glabrata	ND	-	3	20	6	40	ND	-	2	13.3	4	26.6			
C. Jamata	6	40	11	73.3	13	86.6	1	6.6	7	46.6	6	40			
C. tropicalis	1	6.6	5	33.3	3	20	2	13.3	2	13.3	3	20			
C. dubliniensis	ND	-	ND	-	1	6.6	ND	-	ND	-	ND	-			
C. zeynoides	ND	-	4	26.6	4	26.6	1	6.6	2	13.3	3	20			
Rhodotorula species															
Rho. mucilaginosa	7	46.6	9	60	5	33.3	5	33.3	6	40	6	40			
Rho. glutinis	3	20	3	20	3	20	2	13.3	2	13.3	3	20			
Rho. minuta	3	20	2	13.3	8	53.3	4	26.6	4	26.6	5	33.3			

Table 2. Identification of the isolated yeast genera from the examined whole chicken carcasses (n=15/season)

	Summer											Winter						
	Total No. of isolates	Carcass		Fillet		Giblet		Total		Carcass		Fillet		Giblet		Total		
	of isolates .	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Candida species	125	9	7.2	32	25.6	39	31.2	80	64	4	3.2	18	14.4	23	18.4	45	36	
Rhodotorula species	80	13	16.25	14	17.5	16	20	43	53.75	11	13.75	12	15	14	17.5	37	46.25	
Total	205	22	10.7	46	22.4	55	26.8	123	60	15	7.31	30	14.6	37	18	82	40	

#### DISCUSSION

Fungal organisms are diligent commensal microorganisms in the environment. Contamination of meat and meat products may be occurred along the production steps of meat products starting from the abattoirs which is really considered the primary source of contamination, followed by packaging, transportation, and storage conditions, as well as contamination through feeding of the food animals on fungal contaminated feed. *Candida*, *Rhodotorula*, Pichia and *Trichosporon* species are the most recorded yeasts in meat products (Hassanin *et al.*, 2019; Nair *et al.*, 2020).

Referring to the recorded results of the total incidence and mean count of the detected yeast species in different chicken meat products (Table 1), it came higher than those recorded by Ibrahim et al. (2014) and El-Matary and Zaki (2016) who detected Candida sp. and Rhodotorula sp. in incidence of 59.5 and 29.7%, respectively in the examined chicken pane. Shaltout et al. (2014b) detected yeast sp. in 84% of the examined chicken fillet samples with mean count of 5.7x10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g. In addition, Shaltout et al. (2016) reported that the mean value of the total yeast count in their examined chicken carcasses was 2.9x10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g, Ogu et al. (2017) recorded the detection of yeast sp. in 63.3% of the total examined samples, where Candida sp. ranged from 0-6.3% of the yeast isolates. Furthermore, Shaltout et al. (2019) could not detect yeast sp. in the examined whole chicken carcass samples while it was detected in all the examined fillet samples with mean count of 2.3x10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g. While Shaltout (2020) could not detect yeast species in the examined samples of chicken meat carcasses.

Referring to the obtained results, the examined whole carcass samples revealed the lowest contamination levels, followed by fillet and giblet samples, which showed higher levels of contamination. It may be attributed to the possibility of more contamination loads which could associate more processing and handling, especially in the unhygienic conditions in the processing at abattoirs and butcher's levels (Shaltout, 2020).

The high proportion of *Candida* sp. in all the examined chicken samples, especially *C. albicans*, as mentioned in Tables 2 and 3, agreed with those observed by Abd-Elrahman *et al.* (2013) and Shaltout *et al.* (2016). The results of mycological identification of yeast genera revealed that *Candida* sp. was the most predominant species isolated from chicken carcasses, fillet and giblets which came in harmony with that obtained by Ouf *et al.* (2010), Abd-Elrahman *et al.* (2013), Shaltout *et al.* (2014 b) who detected *Candida, Rhodotorula*, Saccharomyces, Torulopsis and Cryptococcus spp. in incidence of 32.5, 22.1, 18.2, 15.5 and 11.7% of the examined chicken carcass samples, and Shaltout *et al.* (2016) who detected *Candida, Rhodotorula* and Torulopsis sp. in incidence of 40, 20 and 6% of the examined chicken carcass samples; while Shaltout *et al.* (2014b) could not isolate *C. albicans* in the examined fillet samples.

Food safety, food security and food system challenges are thought to represent the most significant climate change-related threats to human health globally (Shaltout *et al.*, 2014a and Smith *et al.*, 2014). Researchers anticipated a link between foodborne illness and climate change, since the pathogens that cause many foodborne infectious diseases are known to be influenced by climate and weather variables (Hassan and Shaltout, 2004 and Lake, 2017). Regarding the seasonal variation, the obtained results revealed high contamination rates in the collected samples during summer season, which may be attributed to the significant relationship between foodborne infections and environmental change, as the foodborne microbe's contamination and growth rates were reported to be more active during warm and humid weather patterns (Smith and Fazil, 2019). Afterall, chicken giblet has the highest susceptibility to yeast contamination, followed by fillet and whole carcass samples, respectively. *Candida albicans* is the predominant detected strain among the isolated yeast genera. The collected samples during summer season revealed higher incidence of yeast contamination than the collected samples during winter season.

#### CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the susceptibility of yeast contamination and proliferation in food items is more in hot and humid climate of summer season.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd-Elrahman, H.D., Soliman, S.A., Rahal, E, 2013. Prevalence of yeast in chicken and their products. SCVMJ 111, 1-11.
- Abioja, M.O., Abiona, J.A., 2021. Impacts of climate change to poultry production in Africa: Adaptation options for broiler chickens. In: African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation, W. Leal Filho *et al.* (eds.), Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021, 2-22.
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), 2022. Candidiasis. CDC fact sheet, Fungal Diseases, Types of fungal diseases, https:// www.cdc.gov/fungal/diseases/candidiasis/index.html
- Deák, T., Beuchat, L.R., 1996. Handbook of food spoilage yeasts. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 210.
- Edris, A.M., Hemmat, M.I., Shaltout, F.A., Elshater M.A., Eman F.M.I., 2012a. study on incipient spoilage of chilled chicken cuts-up. Benha Vet. Med. J. 23, 81-86.
- Edris, A.M., Hemmat, M.I., Shaltout, F.A., Elshater, M.A., Eman, F.M.I., 2012b. Chemical analysis of chicken meat with relation to its quality. Benha Vet. Med. J. 23, 87-92
- El-Matary, D., Zaki, N., 2016. Prevalence of yeast in some chicken meat products. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 62, 157-167.
- Hassan, M.A., Shaltout, F.A., 2004. Comparative Study on Storage Stability of Beef, Chicken meat, and Fish at Chilling Temperature. Alex. J. Vet. Sci. 20, 21-30.
- Hassanin, F.S., Shaltout, F.A., Seham N.H., Safaa, M.A., 2019. Natural preservatives in raw chicken meat. Benha Vet. Med. J. 37, 41-45.
- IBM Corp., 2019. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- Ibrahim, H.M., Salem, A.M., Mohamed, S., 2014. Quality evaluation of some locally manufactured chicken meat products. Banha Vet. Med. J. 26, 143-149.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2013. www. ipcc.ch/report/ ar5/wg1/
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2008. No.21527-1. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal

method for the enumeration of yeasts and moulds — Part 1: Colony count technique in products with water activity greater than 0,95. https://www.iso.org/standard/38275.html

- ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2017. No.6887-2. Microbiology of the food chain — Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination — Part 2: Specific rules for the preparation of meat and meat products. https://www.iso.org/standard/63336.html
- Koluman, A., Dikici, A., Kahraman, T., Ncili, G.K., 2017. Food safety and climate change: seasonality and emerging foodborne pathogens. J. Gastroenterol. Res. 1, 24-29.
- Lake, I.R., 2017. Food-borne disease and climate change in the United Kingdom. Environ. Health., 16, 1-117.
- Lucette, F., Theofilos, P., Gabriele, B., Gerard, C., Maria-Carlota, D., Ellen, D., Eeva, F., Tari, H., Sébastien, M., Hubert, P., Yolanda, S., Graham, R., 2018. The impact of human activities and lifestyles on the interlinked microbiota and health of humans and of ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 627, 1018-1038.
- Marmion, M., Ferone, M., Whyte, P., Scannell, A., 2021. The changing microbiome of poultry meat; from farm to fridge. Food Microbiol. 99, 103823-103839.
- Nair, S., Chandhana, M., Faslu Rahman, C., Siva Prasad, M., Shubham Saini, A., Abhishek, V., 2020. Fungal agents associated with contamination of meat and meat by-products. Proceeding of Virtual International Conference on livestock products and food safety: Realities and imperatives for global health. Dept. Livestock prod. Technol., Vet. College and Res. Institute, Bengaluru. Nov., 24, 25 and 30, 2020. p. 35. file:///C:/Users/HP/Downloads/Sonuetal.posterabstract.pdf
- Ogu, G., Madar, I., Igborgbor, J. C., Okolo, J., 2017. Mycological quality of fresh and frozen chicken meat retailed within Warri Metropolis, Delta State, Nigeria. Jordan J. Biol. Sci. 10, 303-308.

- Ouf, J.M., Khafaga, N.I.M., Shabana, S.E., 2010. Incidence of proteolytic and lipolytic moulds and yeasts in some ready to eat products. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 56, 132-143.
- Shaltout, F.A., 2020. Microbiological quality of chicken carcasses at modern poultry plant. J. Nutr. Food Process 3, 1-6.
- Shaltout, F.A., Edris, A.M., 1999. Contamination of shawerma with pathogenic yeasts. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 40, 34-39.
- Shaltout, F.A., El-diasty, E.M., Elmesalamy, M., Elshaer, M., 2014a. Study on fungal contamination of some chicken meat products with special reference to 2 the use of PCR for its identification. Conf. Vet. Med. J. Giza 60, 1-10
- Shaltout, F.A., El-diasty, E.M., Mohamed, M.S., 2014b. Incidence of lipolytic and proteolytic fungi in some chicken meat products and their public health. 1st Sci. Conf. Food Safety Technol. 2014, 79-89.
- Shaltout, F.A., El-diasty, E.M., Salem, R.M., Hassan, A.M., 2016. Mycological quality of chicken carcasses and extending shelf -life by using preservatives at refrigerated storage. Vet. Med. J. Giza, 62, 1-10.
- Shaltout, F.A., Nassif, M.Z., Lotfy, L., Gamil, B., 2019, Microbiological status of chicken cuts and its products. Benha Vet. Med. J. 37, 57-63.
- Smith, B.A., Fazil, A., 2019, How will climate change impact microbial foodborne disease in Canada?. Can. Commun. Dis. Rep., 45, 108-113.
- Smith, K., Woodward, A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Chadee, D., Honda, Y., Liu, Q., Olwoch, J., Revich, B., Sauerborn, R., 2014. Climate change: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. London (UK): Cambridge University Press 2014. Chapter 11, Human Health: Impacts, Adaptation, and Co-Benefit pp. 709-54.
- Wirth, F., Goldani, L.Z., 2012., Epidemiology of *Rhodotorula*: an emerging pathogen. Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis. 2012, 465717.