**Original Research** 

Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research (2023) Volume 13, Issue 3, 492-500

## HPLC Detection of Aflatoxin in Meat, Poultry, and Fish and their Products and Detoxification by Gamma Radiation

Nady Kh. Elbarbary<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohamed Karmi<sup>1</sup>, Marwa M. Abdallah<sup>1</sup>, Fatma F. Abdel-Motaal<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed A. Maky<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Aswan University, Aswan, 81528, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Aswan University, Aswan, 81528, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup>Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, 83522, Egypt.

\*Correspondence

Corresponding author: Nady Kh. Elbarbary E-mail address: nadyvet82@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

One of the most desired and promising diets in Egypt is beef products. It is an enriched media for mycotoxins. The occurrence of aflatoxigenic Aspergillus species is inspected in commercial beef products, HPLC-quantitative amount of aflatoxin B1, B2, G1, and G2 excesses, and genetic identification of aflatoxin regulatory gene (aflR1) by conventional PCR. Two hundred and forty commercial products (minced meat, beef kofta, beef sausage, beef burger, beef luncheon, frozen meat, beef frozen liver, chicken luncheon, chicken burger, chicken frozen liver, mloha, and fesikh; n=20 for each) were collected from different markets at Aswan City, Egypt. Enumeration, isolation, and identification of mold species were carried to each sample. The amount of aflatoxins was measured using HPLC. Genetic identification of the aflR1 gene in Aspergillus was performed using PCR. Mloha samples recorded the highest total mold count whereas the beef luncheon recorded the lowest mould count. Four fungal genera were identified and Aspergillus spp recorded the main with an incidence of 25.8%. By PCR, the aflR1 gene was productively augmented in all the tested Aspergillus spp. The findings illustrated that among the samples that were examined; the prevalence of AFB1 was 65%, followed by AFG2 at 63%, AFB2 at 40%, and AFG1 at 30%. Additionally, mloha (724.2±14.6), poultry frozen liver (288±6.7), and beef frozen liver (91.6±12.2) had higher mean values of total aflatoxins contamination than other samples. Every sample that has been analyzed shows a positive correlation between the amount of reduced total mycotoxins found in the samples and the increased dose of gamma irradiation used to treat the samples. Conclusion: Aflatoxin is frequently linked to meat, poultry, and fish, as well as the products made from these foods. The production of aflatoxin in meat, as well as the products made from it, creates a danger to the public's health. Thus, the most effective way to prevent aflatoxigenic mould contamination during the product's production stages is to apply stringent hygienic standards when processing meat products and to use high-quality flavoring agents as spices.

#### KEYWORDS

Mycotoxin, Aflatoxin, Aspergillus, Meat-products, HPLC

### INTRODUCTION

Meat and its products have a high nutritional value as they contain a high concentration of essential amino acids, vitamins, fats, and minerals, an ideal substrate for the growth of mycotoxigenic fungi, which leads to the formation of mycotoxin (Ferrão et al., 2017). Mold contamination of meat products can occur at various phases of the production process. It may happen when an animal is slaughtered in unsanitary conditions using tainted water, tools, and utensils when meat is processed and contaminated meat additives with mould spores are added, or when food is handled, packed, transported, or stored (Morshdy et al., 2015). Because it raises the possibility that these meat products will spoil and degrade, the danger of mould contamination in meat products is considered to be real. However, the most important factor contributing to food contamination by mould is the creation of mycotoxins. The more common and harmful types of mycotoxins are aflatoxins (AF), which are the main toxic secondary heterocyclic metabolites of some Aspergillus species like A. parasiticus, A.

flavus, and A. nomius (Alcaide-Molina et al., 2009) that frequently contaminate human and animal food causing illness and mortality to consumers (Magnussen and Parsi, 2013). There are many kinds of Afs found in nature, but the B1, B2, G1, and G2 types are the most powerful (Shahbazi et al., 2017). Consumers are exposed to public health risks due to the mycotoxin contamination of beef and its products. Depending on the type and specific sites of the mycotoxins, various symptoms in people have been found, including liver cancer, hepatotoxicity, mutagenicity, nephrotoxicity, hormonal disruption, immunosuppression, and nervous system disturbance (Gagaoua and Boudechicha, 2018). Food radiation has the potential to increase food's shelf life while preserving its organoleptic and safety qualities. The Codex Alimentarius Commission has authorized gamma, electron beam (E-beam), and X-ray as the three ionizing sources for food radiation (Igbal et al., 2013). A quick and efficient decontamination method for food protection is gamma irradiation. Without sacrificing the quality and safety of food, this method effectively eliminates pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms and reduces some toxins, such as

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. ISSN: 2090-6277/2090-6269/ © 2011-2023 Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research. All rights reserved.

mycotoxins (Domijan *et al.*, 2015). Gamma radiation is known to have a direct effect on mycotoxins by degrading them and an indirect effect by inhibiting or delaying fungal development in mycotoxicology (Calado *et al.*, 2018). The current study's objectives were to quantify aflatoxin levels in various meat, poultry, and fish products using an HPLC assay, identify the aflatoxin regulatory gene (*aflR1*) in contaminated products using a conventional PCR assay, and investigate the detoxification of aflatoxin levels by gamma radiation.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Mould Assessment

#### Samples collection

A total of 240 samples were collected in Aswan City, representing minced meat, beef burger, beef kofta, beef sausage, beef luncheon, frozen meat, beef frozen liver, chicken luncheon, chicken burger, chicken frozen liver, mloha, and fesikh. The samples were collected in an aseptic manner in sterile plastic bags and transferred to the lab in a box of ice for mycological examination and aflatoxin residue detection.

#### Sample preparation

Each sample was combined with 225 MI of sterile peptone water (0.1%) to encourage the development of mould involved with the samples under investigation (Downes and Ito, 2001).

#### Assessment of the total mould count (APHA, 1985)

The produced dilutions were inoculated onto Oxoid Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol agar (UK). The inoculation plates were checked for mould growth and enumeration as CFU/g after being cultured for up to 5 days at 25°C.

#### Identification of Mould isolates

The identification of suspected colonies as previously described by Pitt and Hocking (2009)

Identification of aflatoxin regulatory gene (aflR1) in isolated Aspergillus spp. By conventional PCR assay (Bintvihok et al., 2016)

For DNA extraction, phenotypically colonies were used. Using Quick-DNA<sup>M</sup> Fungal/Bacterial MiniPrep Extraction kit (Zymore-search, Cat. No. D6005). Using the forward primer *AflR*-1F 5'- AC-CGCATCCACAATCTCAT-3' and the reverse primer *AflR*-2R 5'- GT-GCAGTTCGCTCAGAACA-3' (Willowfort Co., United Kingdom), ten separated *Aspergillus* were subjected to PCR amplification for the *aflR1* gene, with an actual product size of 800 bp.

## *Quantitative assessment of aflatoxins residues (B1, B2, G1, and G2) by HPLC*

#### Standard materials solutions

Verified reference acetonitrile solutions with aflatoxins standards (B1, B2, G1, and G2) at a concentration of 3g/ml provided by Sigma-Aldrich Co. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2000) technique was followed in the preparation of the stock standard solution and working standard solutions. Sample preparation for residue analysis

To prepare for the assay, partially thaw frozen tissues for 30 minutes at room temperature (23°C) and blend for 20 to 30 seconds at high speed in a blender to create a uniform paste-like consistency.

Separation and cleanup (Brera et al., 2011)

Fill a polypropylene container with 5g of weight. 25mL of methanol (80%) and 0.5 g of sodium chloride should be added. For three minutes, mix at a fast speed. Through filter paper, sieve the extract. Dilute 3 mL of PBS with 3 mL of filtrate. Completely combine. At 10,000 rpm Centrifuge the diluted material for 10 minutes. Adding 4mL of the diluted material to the conditioned immunoaffinity column (IAC) followed by 1mL of PBS. In two steps, elute mycotoxins: Apply 1.0 mL of methanol to the IAC first, and then let it pass through naturally. Collect Elute in a volumetric beaker with a 5 mL calibration. Wait 1 minute before applying a second amount of 1.0 mL methanol. To gather the last few drops, blow air through the column. Before analyzing the sample, keep it at +4°C in a 5mL volumetric flask filled to the mark with DW and thoroughly mixed.

#### Derivatization (AOAC, 1995)

A post-column LC pump (Zero-dead volume T piece, reaction tubing minimum 450  $\times$  0.5 mm Diameter in PTFE) (LC pump Lab flow 2000, Labservice Analytica, Bologna, Italy) is used to perform pre-column derivatization using a 0.005% aqueous solution of Pyridine Hydrobromide Perbromide (PBPB) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min.

Analytical method validation

The procedure was approved in accordance with ICH, 2005 standards:

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)

They were determined LOD = 3.3S/band depending on a standard deviation of intercept (S) and slope (b). LOQ = 10S/b

#### HPLC analysis conditions

Agilent HPLC equipment was used to analyze the AF (Quaternary pump, autosampler model 1200, USA). a pre-packaged Agilent LiChrospher C18 column measuring 250 mm, 4.6 mm in diameter, and 5 m in particle size. A post-column LC pump (zero-dead volume T piece, reaction pipe minimum 450  $\times$  0.5 mm id in PTFE) (LC pump Lab flow 2000, Lab service Analytica, Bologna, Italy). Fluorescence Detector Jasco FP1520 (Jasco Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The activation wavelength was 365 nm, and the emission wavelength was 442. A program developed by Agilent in the USA for automated data analysis.

# Irradiation's effects on the reduction of mycotoxins (Aziz et al., 2004)

In three replications, high-positive product samples were put in polyethylene pouches and subjected to doses of 6, 8, and 20 Katy using 60CO gamma rays (Gamma Cell mould 220 apparatus, NCRRT, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt).

#### Statistical analysis

According to Feldman *et al.* (2003), the obtained findings were statistically analyzed by application of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test. Log10 reduction and reduction percentages were determined using Excel software (George Brown, 2017). log10 reduction = (A) - (B)

Where A=log10 viable microbes number before the examination. B is the log10 living microbe's count after the examination.

Log reduction % = (A - B) /A x 100

If the number has become negative, both the number and the percent have increased by a log10 factor.

### RESULTS

The occurrence of mold in examined samples (Table 1) was found to be  $10^2$  (42.5%) out of 240 samples distributed as follows: 8 (40%), 6 (30%), 6 (30%), 12 (60%), 12(60%), 11 (55%), 4 (20%), 8 (40%), 6 (30%), 12 (60%), 14 (70%) and 3 (15%) with the mean value of mould count of  $3.6 \times 10^2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^2$ ,  $2.03 \times 10^2 \pm 4 \times 10^2$ ,  $1.51 \times 10^2 \pm 3.03 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.45 \times 10^2 \pm 0.3 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.15 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.42 \times 10^2 \pm 0.43 \times 10^2$ ,  $1.33 \times 10^2 \pm 0.05 \times 10^2$ ,  $1.35 \times 10^2 \pm 1.9 \times 10^2$ ,  $0.85 \times 10^2 \pm 0.6 \times 10^2$ ,  $0.95 \times 10^2 \pm 0.04 \times 10^2$ ,  $4.7 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2$ , and  $0.87 \times 10^2 \pm 0.05 \times 10^2$  for minced meat, beef burger, beef Luncheon, beef kofta, beef sausage, beef frozen liver, frozen meat, chicken burger, chicken luncheon, chicken frozen liver, mloha, and fesikh, respectively. There is a statistically significant variation in the mould count between the different samples that were examined (P< 0.0001).

Table 2 shows the incidence of isolated mould species that *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Cladosporium,* and *Geotrichum candidum* could be isolated from 62 (25.8%), 14 (5.83%), 20 (8.33%), and 3 (1.3%) out of 240 different samples, respectively.

Aspergillus species recognized in the examined product (Table 3) were A. niger, A. carbonarius, A. parasiticus, A. versicolor, A. fumigatus, A. flavus, A. alutacus, A. Aegypticus, A. Flavofurcatus, and A. Sulphurus with the incidence of 12.5%, 9.6%, 5.42%, 9.2%, 1.3%, 3.8%, 0.83%, 1.7%, 0.83%, and 1.3%, respectively. In addition, the outcomes of the conventional PCR analysis revealed that the DNA extract of the Aspergillus isolates has the aflatoxin regulatory gene (aflR1) (Figure 1).

Regarding the presence of AFB1, AF B2, AFG1, and AFG2 in the analyzed samples, only 39 (65%), 24 (40%), 18, 30, 38 (63%), and 73.3 (26.7%) samples, respectively, allowed for their detec-

Table 1. Occurrence and total mold count of the examined products (n.=20 each).

Examined samples —	Positive	sample		Total mold count							
Examined samples —	No.	%	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SE	<i>P</i> -value					
Minced meat	8	40	$0.1 \times 10^{2}$	7.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.2 ×10 <sup>2a</sup>	0.01					
Beef burger	6	30	1.15×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>2</sup>	$2.03 \times 10^{2} \pm 4 \times 10^{2b}$	0.07					
Beef luncheon	6	30	$0.5 \times 10^{2}$	2.75×10 <sup>2</sup>	$1.51 \times 10^{2} \pm 3.03 \times 10^{2c}$	0.01					
Beef kofta	12	60	$0.2 \times 10^{2}$	7×10 <sup>2</sup>	$3.45 \times 10^{2} \pm 0.3 \times 10^{2a}$	0.01					
Beef sausage	12	60	$0.4 \times 10^{2}$	6.13×10 <sup>2</sup>	$3.15 \times 10^{2} \pm 1.2 \times 10^{2a}$	0.01					
Beef frozen liver	11	55	$1.17 \times 10^{2}$	6.8×10 <sup>2</sup>	$3.42 \times 10^{2} \pm 0.43 \times 10^{2a}$	0.02					
Frozen meat	4	20	$0.7 \times 10^{2}$	2×10 <sup>2</sup>	$1.33 \times 10^{2} \pm 0.05 \times 10^{2c}$	0.02					
Chicken burger	8	40	$0.01 \times 10^{2}$	6.05×10 <sup>2</sup>	$1.35 \times 10^{2} \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2bc}$	0.09					
Chicken luncheon	6	30	$0.2 \times 10^{2}$	1.75×10 <sup>2</sup>	$0.85 \times 10^{2} \pm 0.6 \times 10^{2c}$	0.01					
Chicken frozen liver	12	60	$0.5 \times 10^{2}$	$2.3 \times 10^{2}$	0.95×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.04×10 <sup>2c</sup>	0.03					
Mloha	14	70	$1.5 \times 10^{2}$	7.9×10 <sup>2</sup>	4.7×10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.2×10 <sup>2d</sup>	0.08					
Fesikh	3	15	$0.6 \times 10^{2}$	$1.2 \times 10^{2}$	$0.87 \times 10^{2} \pm 0.05 \times 10^{2c}$	0.02					
Total No.	102			42.50%							

 $p \le 0.0014$ , considered extremely significant. Mean values with the same letters in each column are not significant difference ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) using ANOVA test.

Table 2. Occurrence of mold genera in examined products (n.=20).

Examined samples	Asperg	<i>illus</i> spp	Penicil	<i>lium</i> spp	Cladospe	orium spp	Geotrichun	n candidum	1018	1 spp
_	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Minced meat	6	30	3	15	4	20	0	0	13	65
Beef burger	6	30	4	20	2	10	2	10	14	70
Beef luncheon	5	25	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	30
Beef kofta	12	60	3	15	0	0	0	0	15	75
Beef sausage	6	30	0	0	1	5	0	0	7	35
Beef frozen liver	4	20	0	0	3	15	0	0	7	35
Frozen meat	3	15	1	5	2	10	0	0	6	30
Chicken burger	8	40	0	0	3	15	0	0	11	55
Chicken luncheon	4	20	2	10	2	10	0	0	8	40
Chicken frozen liver	2	10	0	0	1	5	0	0	3	15
Mloha	3	15	1	5	1	5	0	0	5	25
Fesikh	3	15	0	0	1	5	0	0	4	20
Total No.	62	25.8	14	5.83	20	8.33	3	1.3	99	41.3

Table 3. Incidence	of identified As	pergillus species i	n examined	products (1	n.= 20).

	Aspergillus species (%)																			
Examined samples	ni	4. ger	A. carbonarius		para	A. siticus	versi	1. icolor	fumi	4. gatus	fla	1. vus	A. alutacus		A. aegypticus		A. flavofurcatus		A. sulphurus	
	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Minced meat	2	10	1	5	1	5	7	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beef burger	4	20	5	25	-	-	3	15	-	-	3	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beef luncheon	3	15	5	5	3	15	2	10	-	-	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beef kofta	2	10	9	45	-	-	-	-	3	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beef sausage	3	15	2	10	-	-	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	20	-	-	1	5
Beef frozen liver	4	20	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frozen meat	3	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	10	-	-
Chicken burger	2	10	1	5	1	5	7	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chicken luncheon	3	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chicken frozen liver	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	10
Mloha	3	15	-	-	5	25	-	-	-	-	2	10		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fesikh	1	5	-	-	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	30	12.5	23	9.6	13	5.42	22	9.2	3	1.3	9	3.8	2	0.83	4	1.7	2	0.83	3	1.3

Table 4. Occurrence of aflatoxin residues in examined products samples and their acceptability according to FAO (2004)\*.

						Acceptable		Non acceptable					
Examined samples		AF	B1	AF	B2	AF	G1	AF	G2	sam	ple %	sample %	
	No	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Minced meat	5	3	60	3	60	3	60	4	80	5	100	0	0
Beef burger	5	4	80	0	0	0	0	4	80	5	100	0	0
Beef luncheon	5	3	60	0	0	0	0	3	60	5	100	0	0
Beef kofta	5	5	100	3	60	3	60	5	100	5	100	0	0
Beef sausage	5	5	100	4	80	4	80	5	100	3	60	2	40
Beef frozen liver	5	3	60	3	60	3	60	3	60	1	20	4	80
Frozen meat	5	2	40	0	0	0	0	2	40	5	100	0	0
Chicken burger	5	4	80	4	80	0	0	4	80	5	100	0	0
Chicken luncheon	5	3	60	3	60	3	60	3	60	5	100	0	0
Chicken frozen liver	5	3	40	2	40	2	40	2	40	0	0	5	100
Mloha	5	3	60	2	40	0	0	3	60	0	0	5	100
Fesikh	5	1	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0
Total	60	39	65	24	40	18	30	38	63	44	73.3	16	26.7

\*Regulator limits (>20g/kg) for meat products.

Table 5.	Concentration	of aflatoxin	residues (	(ppb) i	n examined	products.
14010 5.	concentration	or unatoAm	rebradeb	(PPC) I	ii enaimiea	products.

Franking damage		Me	an (±S.E) of aflatoxin dete	cted	
Examined samples Minced meat Beef burger Beef luncheon Beef kofta Beef sausage Beef frozen liver Frozen meat Chicken burger Chicken luncheon Chicken frozen liver Mloha Fesikh	B1	B2	G1	G2	Total Afs
Minced meat	0.73±0.2ª	0.9±0.3ª	0.62±0.2ª	0.74±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.9±0.28 <sup>a</sup>
Beef burger	1.4±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	<b>3.02</b> ±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	5.4±0.13 <sup>b</sup>
Beef luncheon	2.6±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	<b>2.12</b> ±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	<b>5.14</b> ±0.18 <sup>b</sup>
Beef kofta	<b>2.4</b> ±0.08 <sup>b</sup>	1.18±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.74±0.03ª	<b>1.92</b> ±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	5.68±0.2 <sup>b</sup>
Beef sausage	5.03±0.26°	1.76±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.02±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.73±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	<b>9.85</b> ±0.64 <sup>b</sup>
Beef frozen liver	$32.3 \pm 2.3^{d}$	<b>8</b> ±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	<b>6.6</b> ±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	<b>43.3</b> ±4.6°	<b>91.6</b> ±12.2°
Frozen meat	1.16±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	1.66±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.82±0.25 <sup>a</sup>
Chicken burger	0.65±0.001ª	1.66±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0	<b>0.7</b> ±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.89±0.9ª
Chicken luncheon	1.6±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.68±</b> 0.002 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.4</b> ±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.3±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	<b>5.3</b> ±0.73 <sup>b</sup>
Chicken frozen liver	122.3±2.7 <sup>e</sup>	<b>23.3</b> ±1.2°	<b>21</b> .0±1.0 <sup>c</sup>	<b>102</b> .0±1.6 <sup>d</sup>	288.0±6.7°
Mloha	$392.0\pm 5.8^{f}$	189.0±2.2 <sup>d</sup>	0	$258.0 \pm 4.0^{d}$	724.2±14.6 <sup>d</sup>
Fesikh	1.0±0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	1.0±0.001 <sup>a</sup>

 $P \le 0.05$  considered extremely significant. Mean values with the same letters in each column are not significant difference using ANOVA test.

tion and quantification (Table 4). According to the regulatory authority (FAO, 2004), all samples approved for their aflatoxin residues exceeded the legal and regulatory limits (>20 g/kg) for meat products, except chicken frozen liver, mloha, and only 80% beef frozen liver and 40% beef sausage samples. The data in Table 5, demonstrates the frequency of aflatoxin remnants (ppb) in various product samples with a mean value of  $0.73\pm0.2$ ,  $1.4\pm0.3$ ,  $2.6\pm0.05$ ,  $2.4\pm0.08$ ,  $5.03\pm0.26$ ,  $32.3\pm2.3$ ,  $1.16\pm0.09$ ,  $0.65\pm0.001$ ,  $1.6\pm0.01$ ,  $122.3\pm2.7$ ,  $392\pm5.8$  and  $1\pm0.001$  for AFB1,  $0.9\pm0.3$ , 0, 0,  $1.18\pm0.06$ ,  $1.76\pm0.05$ ,  $8\pm0.2$ , 0,  $1.66\pm0.03$ ,  $0.68\pm0.002$ ,  $23.3\pm1.2$ ,  $189\pm2.2$  and 0 for AF B2,  $0.62\pm0.2$ , 0, 0,  $0.74\pm0.03$ ,  $1.02\pm0.05$ ,



Fig. 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of (*aflR1*) of *Aspergillus* spp., Lane M: DNA ladder, Lane 1-10: positive for the presence of (*aflR1*) in *Aspergillus* spp., Lane 11: Negative control, and Lane 12: Positive control.

6.6±0.2, 0, 0, 0.4±0.01, 21±1, 0 and 0 for AFG1 and 0.74±0.2, 3.02±0.7, 2.12±0.02, 1.92±0.3, 1.73±0.08, 43.3±4.6, 1.66±0.2, 0.7±0.03, 2.3±0.3,  $10^2$ ±1.6,258±4 and 0 for AFG2. The difference relating to the meat products examined sample was extremely significant (p≤0.05). The aflatoxin residues in various products following gamma irradiation are shown in Table 6, together with the amount of total aflatoxin reduced by increasing the dose of gamma irradiation, and the findings demonstrate a positive correlation between them in all samples analyzed.

### DISCUSSION

Most documented outbreaks of food poisoning are primarily caused by meat and meat products. Consequently, it is essential to use bacteriological measures to assess those goods' value (Abuzaid *et al.*, 2020). Therefore the occurrence of mold in different inspected products was recorded at 42.5% (Table 1) in which the highest Aflatoxigenic fungi incidence was found in mloha (70%), followed by beef kofta, beef sausage, chicken frozen liver (60% for each), beef frozen liver (55%), minced meat and a chicken burger (40% each), beef burger, beef luncheon, and chicken luncheon (40% each), frozen meat (20%) whereas the lowest prevalence of Aflatoxigenic fungi were found in Fesikh (15%). Soliman *et al.* (2019) got similar findings in Egypt and reported that various mould spp. was present in 60% and 67.5% of

Table 6. Reduction percentage of aflatoxin (%) in meat and their products by using gamma irradiation.

		Type of aflatoxins														
Examined	Irradiation dose (kGv)		B1			B2			G1			G2			TAF	
bampres	acto (noj)	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %
	6		1.83	16.81		1.24	4.73		0.92	23.33		1.12	20		5.11	23.73
Minard	8	2.2	1.52	30.9	1.0	0.98	448.42	1.2	0.78	35	1.4	0.87	37.85	(7	4.15	38
Minced meat	10	2.2	1.12	49.09	1.9	0.76	60	1.2	0.62	48.33	1.4	0.62	55.71	0./	3.12	3.97
	20		0.85	61.36		0.58	69.47		0.53	55.83		0.55	60.7		2.51	62.53
	6		2.1	16		-	-		-	-		4.97	11.25		7.07	12.7
Deefleree	8	2.5	1.87	25.2		-	-		-	-	5 (	3.88	30.7	0.1	5.75	29
Beel burger	10	2.5	1.44	42.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	2.53	54.82	8.1	3.97	50.98
	20		1.1	56		-	-		-	-		2.1	62.5		3.2	60.49
	6		4.2	3.8		-	-		-	-		2.31	16.3		6.51	7.52
Deefluncheen	8	4 27	3.74	14.41		-	-		-	-	2.76	1.95	29.34	7.04	5.69	19.17
Beel luncheon	10	4.37	3.2	26.77	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.70	1.42	48.55	/.04	4.62	34.37
	20		2.15	50.8		-	-		-	-		0.96	65.21		3.11	55.82
	6		4.94	6.79		3.1	11.14		0.97	25.38		2.86	7.74		11.87	10.07
Poofkoffo	8	5.3	4.27	19.43	3.5	2.82	19.42	1.2	0.74	43.07	2.1	2.27	26.77	12.2	10.1	23.48
Beel kolta	10	5.5	3.92	26.03	3.3	2.34	33.14	1.5	0.66	49.23	3.1	1.83	40.96	13.2	8.75	33.7
	20		2.47	53.39		1.89	46		0.54	58.46		1.47	52.58		6.37	51.74
	6		14.23	5.13		5.21	8.5		2.88	7.09		4.2	14.28		26.52	7.5
D.C	8	1.5	13.22	11.86		4.48	21.4	2.1	2.1	32.25	4.0	3.51	30.88	20.7	23.31	18.78
Beef sausage	10	15	12.48	16.8	5.7	3.82	32.98	3.1	1.67	46.12	4,9	2.86	41.63	28.7	20.83	27.42
	20		11.58	22.8		2.86	49.82		1.18	61.93		1.92	60.8		17.54	38.88
	6		44.73	2.76		12.3	5.38		10.2	7.27		47.2	9.2		114.43	6.2
	8	16	43.88	4.6	10	11.1	14.61		9.48	13.8		38.54	25.88	100	103	15.57
Beef frozen liver	10	46	41.72	9.3	13	10.79	17	11	7.88	28.36	52	28.64	44.9	122	89.03	27.02
	20		40.36	12.26		9.34	28.15		6.35	41.54		22.42	56.88		78.47	35.68
	6		1.1	21.42		-	-		-			1.94	11.8		3.04	78.12
	8		0.89	36.42		-	-		-	-		1.62	26.36	10.0	2.51	81.94
Frozen meat	10	1.4	0.67	45.71	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	1.3	40.9	13.9	1.97	85.82
	20		0.42	7900		-	-		-	-		0.97	78.12		1.39	90

(B. Aflatoxins concentration before treatment. (A. Aflatoxins concentration after treatment. (R %. Reduction %.

T 11 7 1	D 1 /		C G	(0/)	. 1.1	1 C 1	1 /	1 .		· 1· /·
Table /	Reduction	nercentage	or anatovin	1 2/01	in chicken	and tich	products	$hv usin\sigma$	oamma	irradiation
14010 /	itteau chom	percentage	or unutoAm	( / 0 /	m unukun	and non	products	Uy using	gamma	madiation.
				< /					0	

	Irradiation _							Туре	e of aflato	xins						
Examined	dose		B1			B2			G1			G2			TAF	
Examined samples Chicken burger Chicken luncheon Chicken frozen liver	(kGy)	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %	B (ppb)	A (ppb)	R %
	6		0.97	9.16		1.98	13.9		-	-		0.98	6.6		3.93	2.96
Chicken hurger	8	1.2	0.74	38.33	23	1.57	31.7		-	-	1.05	0.84	20	4.05	3.15	22.22
Chicken burger	10	1.2	0.57	52.5	2.3	1.2	47.8	-	-	-	1.05	0.63	40	4.05	2.4	40.74
	20		0.39	67.5		0.97	57.8		-	-		0.58	44.76		1.94	52
	6		1.68	16		0.96	12.7		0.62	11.42		2.44	15.86		5.7	14.92
Chielsen lynchoon	8	2	1.43	28.5	1.1	0.76	30.9	07	0.54	22.85	2.0	1.89	34.82	67	4.62	31
Chicken functieon	10	Z	0.98	51	1.1	0.66	40	0.7	0.44	37.14	2.9	1.67	42.4	0.7	3.75	44
	20		0.78	61		0.53	51.8		0.39	44.71		1.38	52.4		3.08	55.52
	6		141	6		36.4	15.3		20.79	5.5		106	10.16		304.19	8.65
Chicken frozen	8	150	110.3	26.4	12	32.48	24.46	22	17.38	21	110	97.47	17.39	222	257.63	22.63
liver	10	150	100.4	33	43	26.41	38.58	22	13.54	38.45	110	77.42	34.38	333	217.7	34.6
	20		70.3	53.1		19.64	54.3		9.34	57.54		58.63	50.3		157.9	52.57
	6		462	7.6		204	11.3		-	-		217	30		883	15
Mlaha	8	500	415.26	16.9	220	184	20		-	-	10	145	53.22	1040	744.26	28.43
whona	10	300	340	32	230	159.6	30.6	-	-	-	10	25	91.9	1040	524.6	49.55
	20		187	62.6		87	62.17		-	-		12.1	96		286.1	72.49
	6		3.61	9.75		-	-		-	-		-	-		3.61	9.75
Facilth	8	4	3.32	17		-	-		-	-		-	-	4	3.32	17
I CSIKII	10	4	2.88	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2.88	28
	20		2.42	39.5		-	-		-	-		-	-		2.42	39.3

(B. Aflatoxins concentration before treatment. (A. Aflatoxins concentration after treatment. (R %. Reduction %.

the luncheon and hamburger samples, respectively. While Abuzaid *et al.* (2020) separated 62.5% and 82.5% from Kofta and sausage samples, Abd El-Tawab *et al.* (2020) guaranteed that moulds were divorced from 50% and 80% of the examined minced beef and sausage. The rate of moulds in minced beef meat, beef burger, sausage, beef luncheon, and kofta was 50%, 65%, 77%, 60%, and 50%, respectively, according to higher findings published by Abuelnaga *et al.* (2021). However, it was less frequent than the 93.3% recorded by Hussein (2008) for the prevalence of mould in kofta.

The highest mould count (CFU/g) in the present study was in mloha  $(4.7 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2)$ , whereas the beef luncheon recorded the lowest mould counts with a mean value of 0.85×10<sup>2</sup>±0.6×10<sup>2</sup>. The mean values of total mould counts (TMC/g) in various meat product samples are shown in Table 1. On the other hand, a statistically significant variance in the mould count between the different specimens that were examined ( $p \le 0.0014$ ). Similar findings were made by Elsayed et al. (2018), who discovered that the mean mould counts in luncheon and minced meat were 1.3×10<sup>2</sup>±2.1×10 cfu/g and 2.8×10<sup>2</sup>±4.3×10 cfu/g, respectively, while the finding for sausage was lower at  $6.9 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2$ cfu/g. In addition, Abuelnaga et al. (2021) found comparable outcomes in sausage (3.4×10<sup>2</sup>±2.1×10<sup>2</sup> cfu/g), but higher results in luncheon (2.3×10<sup>2</sup>±1.3×10<sup>2</sup>), while lower results in minced meat  $(2.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^2 \text{ cfu/g})$ , beef burger  $(1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 9.2 \times 10^2 \text{ cfu/g})$ cfu/g), and kofta (0.5×10±0.1×10), respectively. A lower mold count was achieved by Abuzaid et al. (2020) with mold counts of  $1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 0.14 \times 10^3$  and  $1.4 \times 10^3 \pm 0.27 \times 10^3$  in sausages and Kofta, respectively. As well lower results reported by El-Tabiy (2006) and Algammal et al. (2021) in sausage samples were 2.26 ×10<sup>2</sup>±0.58×10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g and 2.9×10<sup>2</sup>±0.91×10<sup>2</sup> CFU/g, respectively. These variations were ascribed to changes in the quantity and kinds of additives used in the production of meat products, as well as changes in the products' exposure to temperatures and time and the sanitary measures used during processing.

Table 2 lists all the mycoflora that were isolated from the samples of evaluated products. The findings revealed the identi-

fication and recording of four fungal species. These include *Geotrichum candidum*, *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp., and *Cladosporium* spp. *Aspergillus* spp. was the most frequently isolated mould species from samples, with a prevalence of 25.8%, followed by *Cladosporium* spp., 8.33%, and *Penicillium* spp., 5.83%, while *Geotrichum candidum* had a lesser prevalence of 1.3%. The *Aspergillus* species produce a class of secondary fungal metabolites known as aflatoxins.

In the current study, the most predominant species are A. niger, A. carbonarius, A. parasiticus, A. versicolor, A. fumigatus, A. flavus, A. alutacus, A. Aegypticus, A. Flavofurcatus, A. Sulphurus with the prevalence of 12.5%, 9.6%, 5.42%, 9.2%, 1.3%, 3.8%, 0.83%, 1.7%, 0.83%, and 1.3%, respectively (Table 3). In contrast to earlier research, nine fungal species, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Cladosporium, Epicoccum, Phoma, Geotrichum, and Trichoderma Paecilomyces were discovered and documented by Marwa et al. (2018). Penicillium was the most frequently found fungus in processed beef samples, accounting for 89.71%, followed by Aspergillus 4.59% (A. flavus 2.34%, A. niger 1.22%, and A. parasiticus 0.94%), Geotrichum spp 2.91%, Cladosporium spp 1.50%, *Phoma* spp. 0.56%, *Paecilomyces* spp. 0.47%, and *Epicoccum* spp. 0.19% were next in order of fungal frequency linked with processed meat samples. Trichoderma sp. and Alternaria sp. had a 0.09% lower fungal incidence. These results are less significant than those published by Ismail et al. (2013), who claimed that meat products could be used to identify 7 mould genera. Aspergillus, Penicillium, Eupencillium, Eurotium, Mucor, Cladosporium, and Byssochlamysnivea were the mould genera that were discovered. The frequency of isolated mould taxa was Penicillium (26.3%), followed by A. flavus (18.4%), P. corylophilum (18.4%), Mucor (13.1%), Byssochlamysnivea (2.6%), and 5.3% for each of the Cladosporium species, P. simplicissimum, P. digitatum, and Eupencillium species. Aspergillus spp. had the highest incidence rate (49%) followed by Penicillium (34%), Cladosporium and Alternaria (15%), Acremonium (12), Rhizopus (10%), Rhizomucor (8%), Absidia (3%) and Chrysosporium (2%), higher results by Morshdy et al. (2015) identified nine mould genera from the beef burger,

luncheon, Kofta, and sausage. From samples of inspected meat products, five species of *Aspergillus* could be isolated. The most frequent species were *A. niger* (22%), *A. flavus* (16%), *A. fumigatus* (12%), *A. parasiticus* (2%), and at least *A. ochraceus* (1%). This variability in fungal species could be brought on by the use of various media kinds and storing temperatures. Humidity, water activity (aw), pH, temperature, environment, nutrients, nature of the substrate, fungal load, physiological state, and microbial interaction are just a few of the many variables influencing both the development of various types of moulds and their synthesis for mycotoxins. Molds frequently got access to products made of preserved meat, which became active as a result of the prolonged ripening time of these types of processed meat, which led to the production of mycotoxins (Chen *et al.*, 2020).

The traditional approaches to identifying and detecting fungi in foods and feeds focus on phenotypic features, and the results may vary considerably different based on the culture conditions and media used. In addition, they take a lot of time, effort, and knowledge from mycologists. Recently, PCR-based techniques have become important instruments for identifying fungi that produce aflatoxin in foods (Rodriguez et al., 2012). As a result, in the current research, the PCR amplification of the aflatoxin regulatory gene (aflR1) and macroscopic and microscopic examinations were used to corroborate the diagnosis of the retrieved Aspergillus isolates. Additionally, PCR was effectively used to amplify the aflR1 gene in Aspergillus isolates (Figure 1). Aflatoxin production is primarily regulated by the aflatoxin regulatory gene (aflR). The functional genes regulating the aflatoxin production pathway are activated by the AflR protein, which is expressed by the aflR gene (Wang et al., 2011). The current result was in agreement with that obtained by Cruz and Buttner (2008); Mahmoud (2015) and Bintvihok et al. (2016) found that examined isolates expressed a regulatory alfR gene. According to Awad et al. (2019), there is a positive correlation between the quantity of aflatoxins produced by isolates and the mean expression level of regulatory genes. The found variations in aflatoxins production capacity could be attributed to variations in the expression of aflatoxins regulatory and biosynthetic genes among toxigenic isolates from tested products as a result of variations in environmental conditions. The HPLC technique, which depends on the chemical and physical characteristics of mycotoxins, is the most well-established assay for estimating the number of aflatoxins in food. It offers an accurate, precise, and specific way to determine the aflatoxins concentrations in tainted food. Detection of aflatoxins by HPLC in examined products was documented in Table 4, whereas aflatoxin residues were presented in Table 5. The data revealed that the prevalence of AFB1 was 65% among examined samples followed by 63% for AFG2, 40% for AFB2, and 30 for AFG1. Furthermore, minced meat, beef kofta, beef sausage, beef frozen liver, chicken luncheon, and chicken frozen liver consists of AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2. MIoha besides chicken burgers consists of aflatoxin AFB1, AFB2, and AFG2 while beef burgers, beef luncheon, and frozen meat consist of aflatoxin AFB1 and AFG2, and Fesikh consists of aflatoxin AFB1 only. Meanwhile, the total aflatoxins mean value was higher in mloha (724.2±14.6), chicken frozen liver (288±6.7), and beef frozen liver (91.6±12.2) than in other samples. The obtained results showed that the amount of total aflatoxin found in chicken frozen liver and mloha, as well as 80% beef frozen liver and 40% beef sausage samples, exceeded the international regulatory limits (>20g/kg) for meat products (FAO, 2004), whereas other products were within the allowable limits (Table 4). Because of the average concentration of total aflatoxin (ppb), there was an extremely significant difference between the examined sample and the other (p < 0.05). As the liver is the harbor site for mycotoxins residues, the findings indicate that the highest concentration of mycotoxins under examination was found there. It is significant to note that, when compared to other kinds of aflatoxins, AFB1 is the most potently carcinogenic even at very low concentrations (WHO, 2002). Higher results reported by Shaltout et al. (2014) who recorded that AFB1 concentration (µg/kg) in kofta, sausage, and luncheon was 13.38±1.52,

9.03±1.17, and 8.8±0.95, 8.50±0.7, 5.20±0.69, and 5.57±0.72 for AFB 2 (µg/kg), 4.76±0.83, 3.35±0.49, and 3.84±0.58 for AFG1 (µg/ kg) and 3.18±0.52, 2.33±0.29 and 2.50±0.03, for AFG 2 (μg/kg), respectively. As well Morshdy et al. (2015) reported higher mean values of AFB1, AFB2, and AFG1 (2.14±0.35, 2.88±1.08, 0.48±0.15 ppb) and lower values of AFG2 (0.17±0.05 ppb) in the examined beef burger. While the lower mean value for sausage was 0.69±0.22, 0.31±0.15, 0.62±0.28 and 0.16±0.05 ppb, respectively and the mean value for kofta samples was  $0.42\pm0.11$ ,  $1.60\pm0.39$ , 0.67±0.30 and 0.57±0.14 ppb, respectively. Shabana et al., (2008) obtained nearly similar kofta for AFB1 of 6.70±0.89 but a lower concentration of AFG1 of 4.76. As well nearly similar results for AFB1in the beef product (0. 15 to 6.36) reported by Herzallah (2009) and Ali et al. (2005) who recorded 1.53µg/kg in luncheon, and Altalhi and Albashan (2004) who reported 3.6µg/kg in frozen meat but results were higher than reported that by Ismail et al. (2013) who reported AFB1was 10.4±5.1 in luncheon. Hassanin et al. (2016) observed that luncheon recorded 0.98 ppb and chicken burger recorded 1.63 ppb. Furthermore, Markov et al. (2013) revealed that AFB1 was present in 10% of the tested samples, with the highest AFB1 level of 3.0 mg/kg. Additionally, EL-Mossalami (2010) recorded 0.87, 0.41, and 0.59 µg/kg of FB1residues in frozen meat, luncheon, and beef burger samples also Awad et al. (2019) reported lower residues in the chicken liver with the mean value of 5.37±1.53. Iqbal et al. (2014) found total aflatoxins in the chicken livers with a mean value of 3.23±0.82 µg/kg.

Modern food preservation techniques include food radiation, a physical procedure that uses ionizing radiation to stop the development of undesirable biological organisms or to decrease their population (Ferreira-Castro et al., 2007). International organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and Codex Alimentarius have all approved the technology's safety. Food radiation has the potential to increase food's shelf life while preserving its organoleptic and secure qualities (Iqbal et al., 2013). Depending on the variety of the ingredients, gamma irradiation between 5.0 and 10.0 kGy usually meets expectations in removing microbial contamination and insects without changing the chemical or taste and flavor profile (Verma et al., 2015). However, the original microbial load and the type of microorganism present in the ingredients largely determine the amount of radiation needed to decontaminate (Balakrishnan et al., 2022). In general, many studies find that fungi could be killed with radiation doses that were much lower than those required to eliminate the microbial load (Prakash et al. 2011). There is a positive correlation between the amount of total aflatoxins that are reduced in all of the samples that were analyzed in the current study and the amount of gamma irradiation applied to the samples; however, the highest aflatoxin reduction percentage was attained at 20 kGy; it reaches 90% of total aflatoxin in frozen meat followed by 72.49%, 62.53%, 60.49%, 55.82%, 55.52%, 52.57%, 52%, 51.74%, 39.3%, 38.88% and 35.68% in mloha, minced meat, beef burger, beef luncheon, chicken luncheon, chicken frozen liver, chicken burger, kofta, Fesikh, beef sausage, and beef frozen liver respectively. While at 10 kGy; the reduction percentage reaches 85.82% of total mycotoxins in frozen meat followed by 53.43%, 50.98%, 49.55%, 44%, 40.74%, 34.6%, 34.37%, 33.7%, 28%, 27.42% and 27.02% in minced meat, beef burger, mloha, chicken luncheon, chicken burger, chicken frozen liver, beef luncheon, kofta, Fesikh, beef sausage, and beef frozen liver respectively (Tables 6, 7). These findings suggest that aflatoxins in food may be degraded by gamma irradiation to concentrations below the upper limit permitted. The present findings are consistent with Awad et al. (2019), who found that at 10 kGy, the maximum reduction percentage of mycotoxins was attained. It reaches 37.88% for total mycotoxins, 27.22% for AFB1, 40.12% for AFB2, 63.04% for AFG1, and 90.24% for AFG2. Furthermore, according to Ghanem et al. (2008), the greatest percentages of AFB1 degradation at 10 kGy dose were 58.6, 68.8, 84.6, 81.1, and 87.8% for the samples they looked at. While Vita et al. (2014) claimed that the maximum reduction was discovered at 15 kGy

and that it was 19.25%, 10.99%, 21.11%, 16.62%, and 23.9% for AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, respectively.

Given this, general and public education is required to inform the public of the financial and health risks presented by mycotoxins. People should be made aware of control measures like good ingredient selection, appropriate washing, farming practices, and cooking techniques for food commodities. To reduce the negative effects of mycotoxins in food, regulatory control, quick and effective analyses and detection, and proper product handling and storage should be promoted. If consumers are given accurate information about food radiation, they are more ready and willing to accept radiated foods. Numerous studies conducted around the globe revealed that consumers generally accepted radioactive foods (Maherani *et al.*, 2016).

#### CONCLUSION

According to the findings of the current study, the majority of the products under examination were contaminated with one or more aflatoxin(s) of various types of mould, which are thought to be the primary cause of the deterioration of meat products, causing significant financial losses and posing a risk to the public's health by producing a wide range of mycotoxins. Preventing the development of fungi in the substrate is one of the most efficient ways to manage the issues brought on by aflatoxins.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Aswan University in Egypt provided the author with excellent support throughout this study and a research facility.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Tawab, A.A., El-Diasty, E.M., Khater, D.F., Al-baaly, Y.M., 2020. Mycological identification of some fungi isolated from meat products and spices with molecular identification of some *Penicillium* isolates. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 8, 124-129.
- Abuelnaga, A.S.M., Abd El-Razik, K.A., Atta, N.S., Hedia, R.H., Elgabry, E.A., Soliman, M.M.H., Marie, H., 2021. Microbial Contamination and Adulteration Detection of Meat Products in Egypt. Wor. Vet. J. 11, 735-744.
- Abuzaid, K.E.A., Shaltout, F., Salem, R., El-Diasty, E.M., 2020. Microbial aspect of some processed meat products with special reference to aflatoxins. BVMJ. 39, 24-28.
- Alcaide-Molina, M., Ruiz-Jimenez, J., Mata-Granados, J. Luque de Castro, M., 2009. High through-put aflatoxin determination in plant material by automated solid phase extraction online coupled to laser-induced fluorescence screening and determination by liquid chromatography triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. J. Chrom. A. 1216, 1115–1125.
- Algammal, A.M., Mahmoud, E.E., Hany, R.H., Hazem, R.N., Sheraba, S., Eman M.A., El-Diasty, S.M.A., Helal, F.H., 2021. Molecular and HPLC-based approaches for detection of aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A released from toxigenic *Aspergillus* species in processed meat. BMC Microbiol. 21, 82.
- Altalhi, A. Albashan, M., 2004. Mycological study on fresh and frozen meat in Taif City, Saudia Arabia. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 50, 22-32.
- APHA (American Public health Association), 1985. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of food, 2nd Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists), 1995.Method 990.33. Official Methods of Analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> Ed., 1995.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists), 2000. Official Methods of the AOAC International Analysis. 13<sup>th</sup> Ed., Horwitz. W; (Editor), Academic Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- Awad, A., Elhofy, F., Mahmoud, A., Rashed, D., 2019. Determination of aflatoxins by HPLC and the identification of biosynthetic nor-1 gene of aflatoxins in poultry products by PCR assay. Benha Vet. Med. J. 36, 161-186.

- Aziz, N.G., Moussa, A.A, Ferial, M.E., 2004. Reduction of fungi and mycotoxins formation in seeds by gamma-radiation. J. Food Saf. 24, 109-127.
- Balakrishnan, N., Yusop, S.M., Rahman, I.A., Dauqan, E., Abdullah, A., 2022. Efficacy of Gamma Irradiation in Improving the Microbial and Physical Quality Properties of Dried Chillies (*Capsicum annuumL*.): A Review. Foods 11, 91.
- Bintvihok, A., Supitchaya, T., Kitiya, S., Wisut, N., Koranis, P., Sungworn, U., 2016. A Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Aflatoxin-producing Fungus Using an Optimized Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). Tox. Res. 32, 81-87
- Brera, C., Debegnach, F., De Santis, B., Pannunzi, E., Berdini, C., Prantera, E., 2011. Simultaneous determination of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in baby foods and paprika by HPLC with fluorescence detection. Talanta 83, 1442–1446.
- Calado, T., Fernández-Cruz, M.L., CaboVerde, S., Venâncio, A., Abrunhosa, L., 2018. Gamma irradiation effects on Ochratoxin-A: Degradation, cytotoxicity and application in food. Food Chem. 240, 463-471.
- Chen, R., Sun, Y., Huo, B., Zhao, X., Huang, H., Li, S., Bai, J., Liang, J., Gao, Z.A., 2020. Copper monosulfide-nanoparticle-based fluorescent probe for the sensitive and specific detection of ochratoxin A. Talanta 222, 121678.
- Cruz, P., Buttner, M.P., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time quantitative PCR assay for *Aspergillus flavus*. Mycologia 100, 683-690.
- Domijan, A.M., Pleadin, J., Mihaljević, B., Vahčić, N., Frece, J., Markov, K., 2015. Reduction of ochratoxin A in dry-cured meat products using gamma irradiation. Food Addit. Contam. 32, 1185- 1191.
- Downes, F., Ito, K., 2001. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. Washington DC: American Public Health Association; 2001.
- Feldman, D., Hoffman, R., Simpson, J., 2003. The solution for data analysis and presentation graphics. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Abacus Lancripts, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA.
- Ferreira-Castro, F., Aquino, S., Greiner, R., Ribeiro, D.H.B., Reis, T., Corrêa, B., 2007. Effects of gamma radiation on maize samples contaminated with Fusarium verticillioides. App. Rad. Iso. 65, 927–933.
- EL-Mossalami, H.H.A., 2010. Occurance of some mycotoxins residues in meat and meat products with studing the effect of different food processing methods on mycotoxin stability in meat samples. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 56, 125.
- Elsayed, M.E., Algammal, A.M., El-Diasty, E.M., Abouelmaatti, R.R., Abbas, S.M., 2018. Prevalence of *Aspergillus* spp and Aflatoxins in luncheon, minced meat, and sausage. GASJ. 6, 17-23.
- El-Tabiy, A., 2006. Mycological study on some processed meat products exposed for sale in markets. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 52, 121–31.
- FAO, 2004. Worldwide regulation for mycotoxin in food and feed in 2003. Rome, 2004. FAO. Food and Nutrition, p.81.
- Ferrão, J., Bell, V., Chabite, I., Fernandes, T., 2017. Mycotoxins, food, and health mycotoxins, food, and health. J. Nutr. Health Food Sci. 5, 1–10.
- Gagaoua, M., Boudechicha, H.R., 2018. Ethnic meat products of the north African and Mediterranean countries: an overview. J. Eth. Food. 5, 83–98.
- George Brown., 2017. College Tutoring and Learning Centre. Percentage Change; 2014. Available from: http://www.georgebrown.ca/uploadedFiles/TLC/\_documents/ Percentage 20% Change. pdf
- Ghanem, I., Orfi, M., Shamma, M., 2008. Effect of gamma radiation on the inactivation of aflatoxin B1 in food and feed crops. Braz. J. Micro. 39, 787- 791.
- Hassanin, F.S., Abd- Allah, T.Z., El-Shater, M., 2016.Ochratoxin in some Poultry Meat Products. Ben. J. App. Sci. 1, 2.
- Herzallah, S.M., 2009. Determination of aflatoxins in eggs, milk, meat, and meat products using HPLC fluorescent and UV detectors. Food Chem. 114, 1141–1146.
- Hussein, M.A.M., 2008. Mycological aspect of fresh and processed meat products with special respect to proteolytic and lipolytic mold. Ph.D. Thesis (Meat Hygiene) Faculty Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.
- ICH, 2005. International conference on harmonization of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals, validation of analytical procedures; methodology, harmonized tripartite guideline. ICH, Geneva.
- Iqbal, S.Z., Bhatti, I.A., Asi, M.R., Zuber, M., Shahid, M., Parveen, I., 2013. Effect of γ irradiation on fungal load and aflatoxins reduction in red chilies. Radi. Phy. Chem., 82, 80–84.
- Iqbal, S.Z., Nisar, S., Asi, M.R., Jinap, S., 2014. Natural incidence of aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, and zéaralénone in chicken meat and eggs. Food Cont. 43, 98– 103.

- Ismail, S.A., Shehata, A.A., El-diasty, E.M., 2013. Microbiological quality of some meat products in local markets with special reference to mycotoxins. J. Glob. Vet. 10, 577-584.
- Magnussen, A., Parsi, M.A., 2013. Aflatoxins, hepatocellular carcinoma, and public health.Wor. J. Gastro. 19, 1508-1512.
- Mahmoud, M.A., 2015. Detection of *Aspergillus flavus* in Stored Peanuts Using Real-Time PCR and the Expression of Aflatoxin Genes in toxigenic and toxigenic *A. flavus* Isolates. Foodborne pathogen and disease Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. 1, 1-6.
- Maherani, B., Hossain, F.m., Criado, P., Ben-Fadhel, Y., Salmieri, S., Lacroix, M., 2016. World Market Development and Consumer Acceptance of Irradiation Technology. Foods. 5, 79.
- Markov, K., Pleadin, J., Bevardi, M., Vahcic, N., Sokolic-Mihalak, D., Frece, J., 2013. Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A, and citrinin in Croatian fermented meat products. Food Cont. 34, 312-317.
- Morshdy, A.M., Hussien, M.A., El-Abbasy, M.T., Elzwahery, R.R., 2015. Aflatoxins residues in some meat products. 2<sup>nd</sup> Conference of Food Safety, Suez Canal University, Faculty of Vet. Med. I, 90-95.
- Pitt, J.I., Hocking, A.D., 2009. Fungi and food spoilage, New York: Springer. 519, 388.
- Prakash, C., Gupta, P., Garg, N., Joshi, P., 2011. Effect of Gamma Irradiation on the Extraction Yield and Microbial Contamination of Medicinal Plants. Inter. J. Food Sci. 13, 351–354.
- Rodriguez, A., Rodríguez, M., Luque, M.I., Martin, A., Córdiba, J.J., 2012 Real-time PCR assays for detection and quantification of aflatoxin-producing molds in foods. F. Microbiol. 31, 89-99.
- Shabana, E.S., Hegazy, R.S., Salem, S.G., 2008. Determination of Mycotoxin

residues in soya bean meatless burger, Kofta and steak in comparison with resemble types of animal origin. J. Egpt soc. Toxical. 38, 13-19.

- Shahbazi, Y., 2017. Aflatoxin M1 contamination in milk and dairy products: implications on human health. In: Nutrients in dairy and their implications on health and disease. Waltham: Academic Press, pp. 237–250.
- Shaltout, F.A., Amin, R.A., Nassif, M.Z., Abd-Elwahab, S.A., 2014. Detection of aflatoxins in some meat products. Ben. Vet. Medi. J. 27, 368–374.
- Soliman, M.M.H., Ata, N.S., El-Shafei, H.M., Kandil, M.M.A., 2019.Prevalence of toxigenic Aspergillus flavus in meat and meat products. Bios. Res. 16, 822-829.
- Verma, V.P., Petwal, V.C., Gothwal, P., Seema, M., Malviya, K.K., Jishnu, D., 2015. Effect of electron beam irradiation on microbial decontamination & phytochemical contents of spices. Inter. J. Trop. Agricl. 33, 2999–3003.
- Vita, D.S., Rosa, P., Giuseppe, A., 2014. Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin-A Reduction in Almond Samples. J. Food Res. 3, 113.
- Wang, B.S., Zhao, Q., Wang, Y.Z., 2011. The uncertainty of assessing aflatoxin B1- producing ability using *aflR* gene in *Aspergillus* species. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 5, 5603–6.
- WHO, 2002. Evaluation of certain mycotoxins in food. Fifty-sixth report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Technical Report Series 906, World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland.