

Detection of some Food Poisoning Bacteria from Milk Utensils and Dairy Products in Port-said Governorate, Egypt

Ahmed H. Saad, Omar H. Refaat El-kosi, Ehab M. Salma, Mira M. El hadidi*

Department of Food Hygiene and Control,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal
University, Egypt.

*Correspondence

Corresponding author: Mira M. El hadidi
E-mail address: mira2023_psg@vet.suez.edu.eg

Abstract

The aim of this study is to determine the possibility of transmission of some food poisoning bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus* spp.) from the surfaces of equipment and utensils used in small dairy shops for production of dairy products to the final dairy products through microbiological examination of 90 swabs which were collected from the surfaces of equipment and utensils in small dairy shops from different localities at Port-said governorate, Egypt, in addition to 45 of each commercial small scale yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples collected from the same small dairy shops. The results showed that the mean values for *Staphylococcus aureus* counts were $4.7 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5$ cfu/g, $3.9 \times 10^5 \pm 8.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g and $9.4 \times 10^5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in swabs, yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples, respectively, and the mean values for *Streptococcus* spp. were $2.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g, $1.4 \times 10^6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^5$ cfu/g and $3.2 \times 10^6 \pm 5.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in swabs, yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples, respectively, While the mean values of *Escherichia coli* were $1.7 \times 10^2 \pm 2.6 \times 10^1$ cfu/g and $1.2 \times 10^2 \pm 3 \times 10^1$ cfu/g in swabs and yoghurt samples, respectively, with absence in examined rice with milk pudding samples. *Proteus* spp. couldn't be detected in any examined samples. It could be concluded that the microorganisms which isolated from yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples have already found on equipment and utensils used in its manufacture, this may confirm cross-contamination from equipment and utensils surfaces to yoghurt and rice with milk pudding as a final dairy product of small dairy shops.

KEYWORDS

Escherichia coli, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial biofilm is an aggregation of bacterial cells that stick to each other forming structured communities of cells on living or non-living surfaces encased within a self-produced polysaccharide matrix of extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) (Costerton *et al.*, 2003), These communities provides a good environment for the exchange of genetic material between cells in addition to protection against several environmental conditions such as dehydration, UV exposure and salinity (Donlan, 2002), it is a survival strategy employed by bacteria to become less sensitive to antibiotics and disinfectants (Van Houdt and Michiels, 2010).

EPS contributes the bulk of the volume of a biofilm and is primarily responsible for its slimy macroscopic properties. The main constituents of EPS include polysaccharides, proteins, DNAs, lipids, and other polymeric compounds (Myszka and Czaczyk, 2009). Within this matrix there are channels for the circulation of nutrients and water (Flemming and Wingender, 2010). These channels also provide interspecies bacterial exchange or sharing of different metabolic substrates in biofilm (Kokare *et al.*, 2009).

Biofilm formation is a multi-step process (Stoodley *et al.*, 2002), it begins when free-floating bacterial cell come in contact with a suitable surface and begin to put down roots, this process occurred through a several steps leading to its adaptation under stress and diverse nutritional and environmental conditions (Hentzer *et al.*, 2005).

In food industrial sectors, the presence of biofilms causes

food spoilage which resulting in several food-borne infections (Brooks and Flint, 2008), especially in the dairy processing industry, biofilms on the surfaces of milk processing equipment have been considered as a major source of milk recontamination (Flint *et al.*, 1997).

Foodborne pathogens can grow as biofilms in their normal habitats causing severe hygienic problems and economic loss as a result of spoilage of food (Nyenje *et al.*, 2012). *Staphylococcus aureus* and in general *Staphylococcus* genera established a high-density biofilm, it can produce biofilms on both biotic and abiotic surfaces along the food production chain (Kukhtyn *et al.*, 2017), *Streptococcus* spp. is among the bacteria most frequently isolated from surfaces in the food industry, it could persist in various parts throughout milk production and can form predominantly mono-species biofilms on heat exchanger plates in the downstream side of the sections of pasteurizers (Flint *et al.*, 1999). While *Escherichia coli* use its membrane proteins, flagella, and pili to initiate attachment to the surfaces. Acid-adapted *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 has shown enhanced survival and prevalence in biofilms on stainless steel surfaces (Venkitanarayanan and Doyle, 2003), *Proteus mirabilis* has been found to produce biofilms on a wide range of surfaces (McLean *et al.*, 1991).

Outbreaks originating from fermented dairy products have been reported in several countries (Mungai *et al.*, 2015) and their contamination occurred by contaminated tools, surfaces, and packaging material sources (Gonfa *et al.*, 2001). Consumption of yoghurt may predispose the consumer to *Escherichia coli* in-

fections (Gulmez and Guven, 2003). In Rice with milk pudding production, it was found that the equipment which was used in its production and the employees working in enterprises were among the sources of its contamination (Alisarli et al., 2002).

Therefore, this study was conducted for detection of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus* spp. from the surfaces of the dairy equipment used in small scale dairy shops and in yoghurt and rice with milk pudding produced in the same shops to determine the possibility of cross-contamination from the surfaces of utensils to the final products of yoghurt and rice with milk pudding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

A total of 90 swabs were collected from small dairy shops in different localities at Port-said governorate, Egypt. Swabbing was done on the milk handling containers, small and large pots, spatula, and spoons after they had been cleaned and ready to be used in handling milk and its products. In addition, 45 samples of each commercial small-scale yoghurt and rice with milk pudding were collected from the same small dairy shops. All collected swabs, yoghurt and rice with milk pudding were transferred directly to the laboratory.

Preparation of the samples for microbiological examination

Ten-fold serial dilutions up to 10^{-10} were prepared from each sample according to APHA (2004).

Enumeration and isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* were per-

formed on Baird-Parker medium plates at 37°C for 48 hours (Deibel and Herrttman, 1984).

Enumeration and isolation of *Streptococcus* spp. was done by using Kanamycin Aesculin Azide Agar plates at $36 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours (APHA, 1992).

Enumeration and isolation of *Escherichia coli* was performed by using Eosin Methylene Blue agar plates at 37°C for 24 hours (ISO, 2001).

Enumeration and isolation of *Proteus* spp. was done by using standard plate count agar plates at 37°C for 24 hours (ISO, 2001).

Statistical analysis

The unpaired t-test with Welch's correction by the Prism software was used to calculate P values between treatment groups. There is a significant difference at the 95% confidence level ($P \leq 0.05$).

RESULTS

Results showed that the mean values for total *Staphylococcus aureus* counts (Table 1) were $4.7 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5$ cfu/g, $3.9 \times 10^5 \pm 8.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g and $9.4 \times 10^5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in examined swabs, yoghurt samples, and rice with milk pudding samples, respectively, with incidence 53.3%, 62.2% and 73.3%, respectively. The mean values for total *Streptococcus* spp. (Table 2) were $2.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g, $1.4 \times 10^6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^5$ cfu/g and $3.2 \times 10^6 \pm 5.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g in examined swabs, yoghurt samples, and rice with milk pudding samples, respectively, with incidence 73.3%, 68.9 % and 71.1%, respectively. While the mean values of *Escherichia coli* (Table 3) were $1.7 \times 10^2 \pm 2.6 \times 10$ cfu/gm and $1.2 \times 10^2 \pm 3 \times 10$ cfu/g in examined swabs and yoghurt samples,

Table 1. Comparison between Statistical analytical results of total *Staphylococcus aureus* count/ g in examined swab samples (n=90), yoghurt and rice pudding (n=45 of each).

Type of examined samples	Samples Number (NO)	Positive samples (incidence)		Cfu/g		
		No	%	Minimum	Maximum	Mean \pm SE
Swab ^a	90	48	53.3	5×10^1	3×10^6	$4.7 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5$
Yoghurt ^b	45	28	62.2	2×10^3	1.6×10^6	$3.9 \times 10^5 \pm 8.8 \times 10^4$
Rice with pudding samples ^c	45	33	73.3	8.0×10^3	5.3×10^6	$9.4 \times 10^5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^5$

a: P value between a and b =0.5941 considered not significant; b: P value between a and c =0.0769 considered not significant.

Table 2. Comparison between Statistical analytical results of total *Streptococcus* spp. count/ gm in examined swab samples (n=90), yoghurt and rice pudding (n=45 of each).

Type of examined samples	Samples Number (NO)	Positive samples (incidence)		Cfu/g		
		No	%	Minimum	Maximum	Mean \pm SE
Swab ^a	90	66	73.3	4.2×10^1	7.8×10^6	$2.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$
Yoghurt ^b	45	31	68.9	1×10^3	9×10^6	$1.4 \times 10^6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^5$
Rice with pudding samples ^c	45	32	71.1	5.9×10^2	10.3×10^6	$3.2 \times 10^6 \pm 5.6 \times 10^5$

a: P value between a and b =0.5941 considered not significant; b: P value between a and c =0.0769 considered not significant.

Table 3. Comparison between Statistical analytical results of total *Escherichia coli* count/ gm in examined swab samples (n=90), yoghurt and rice pudding (n=45 of each).

Type of examined samples	Number of examined samples. (NO)	Positive samples (incidence)		Cfu/gm		
		No	%	Minimum	Maximum	Mean \pm SE
Swab ^a	90	3	33	1.2×10^2	2×10^2	$1.7 \times 10^2 \pm 2.6 \times 10^1$
Yoghurt ^b	45	2	4.4	9.0×10^1	1.5×10^2	$1.2 \times 10^2 \pm 3 \times 10^1$
Rice with pudding samples ^c	45	0	0	0	0	0

P value between a and b =0.2944 considered not significant.

respectively, with incidence 33% and 4.4%, respectively, with absence of *Escherichia coli* in examined rice with milk pudding samples. *Proteus* spp. couldn't be detected in all examined swabs, yoghurt, and rice with milk pudding sample.

DISCUSSION

The results of the microbiological examination revealed that 53.3% of the examined swab samples were contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* with a mean value of $4.7 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5$ cfu/g. Nearly similar results were reported by Öner and Ölmez (2011), higher result obtained by Marques et al. (2007). While Lower results were recorded by Malek et al. (2012). Our results in agreement with Lee et al. (2014) who found that 45% of examined *Staphylococcus aureus* strains had the ability to produce biofilm on microplates and stainless steel.

From Table 1, 62.2% of examined yoghurt samples were contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* with a mean value of $3.9 \times 10^5 \pm 8.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g. No significant difference between swab *Staphylococcus aureus* count and yoghurt *Staphylococcus aureus* count. This may indicate that the high incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in yoghurt samples could be attributed to cross-contamination from bacteria formed on equipment surfaces to yoghurt. All positive samples (62.2%) are failed to confirm the Egyptian Standards (2005) /yoghurt, which reported that yoghurt must be free from pathogenic microbes and its harmful secretions. Nearly similar results were reported by Attalla et al. (2018). Higher results were recorded by Nwamaka and Chike (2010), while Lower results were recorded by Aman et al. (2021). In contrast to our findings Eissa et al. (2010) could not detect *Staphylococcus aureus* in examined samples.

73.3% of examined rice with milk pudding samples were contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria with a mean value of $9.4 \times 10^5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^5$ cfu/g. No significant difference between swab *Staphylococcus aureus* count and rice with milk pudding *Staphylococcus aureus* count. This may indicate that most of *Staphylococcus aureus* count in rice with milk pudding samples come from the equipment surfaces during the production. Nearly similar results were reported by Abdel-Latif and Saad (2016) and Higher results were recorded by Sotohy et al. (2022), while Lower result by Ertas et al. (2010).

Presence of enterotoxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in dairy products indicating unhygienic conditions during production, processing, storage, and handling of milk products (Thaker et al., 2013) and can induce foodborne intoxications in humans (Sergelidis and Angelidis, 2017).

Concerning *Streptococcus* spp., results revealed that 73.3% of examined swab samples were contaminated with *Streptococcus* spp. with a mean value of $2.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g. Higher results were recorded by Bassi et al. (2017), Our results in agreement with Sharma and Anand (2002) and Gunduz and Tuncel (2006). and 68.9 % of examined yoghurt samples were contaminated with *Streptococcus* spp. with mean value of $1.4 \times 10^6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^5$ cfu/g.

There is significant difference between swab *Streptococcus* spp. count and yoghurt *Streptococcus* spp. count, the Presence of *Streptococcus* spp. in high incidence in yoghurt samples, is most often due to some Streptococci used as starter cultures in yoghurt production, poor hygiene, handling of the food by infected people, the use of raw (unpasteurized) milk, or could be due to its transmission from the surfaces of the equipment and utensils used in manufacturing of the dairy products. all positive yoghurt samples for *Streptococcus* spp. are failed to confirm Egyptian Standards (2005)/ yoghurt.

Nearly similar results were reported by Eissa et al. (2010), Higher results were recorded by Motawee and Saleh (2016), and Lower result recorded by Nwamaka and Chike (2010). While 71.1% of examined rice with milk pudding samples were contaminated with *Streptococcus* spp. with a mean value of $3.2 \times 10^6 \pm 5.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/g. No significant difference between swab *Streptococcus* spp. count and rice with milk pudding *Streptococ-*

cus spp.

The obtained results in agreement with Nayem and Ahmed (2013) who isolated *Streptococcus* spp. from examined milk-based sweets. our results indicating that swab *Streptococcus* spp. count and rice with milk pudding *Streptococcus* spp. count are non-significantly different this may indicate that the high incidence of *Streptococcus* spp. in rice with milk pudding samples could be due to cross-contamination from surfaces of dairy processing utensils and vats to rice with milk pudding samples.

Results in Table (3) revealed that 3.3% of examined swab samples were contaminated with *Escherichia coli* with a mean value of $1.7 \times 10^2 \pm 2.6 \times 10^1$ cfu/g. Higher result was reported by Lajhar et al. (2018), our results revealed the presence of *Escherichia coli* on the surfaces of equipment used in the manufacture of dairy products, this is in agreement with Sharma and Anand (2002) and Kukhtyn et al. (2017). While 4.4% of examined yoghurt samples contaminated with *Escherichia coli* with a mean value of $1.2 \times 10^2 \pm 3 \times 10^1$ cfu/g.

No significant difference between swab *Escherichia coli* count and yoghurt *Escherichia coli* count. This may indicate that *Escherichia coli* in yoghurt samples come from surfaces of dairy processing equipment and there is no contamination of yoghurt with *Escherichia coli* during manufacturing and handling. 4.4% of examined yoghurt samples contaminated with *Escherichia coli* bacteria failed to confirm Egyptian standards. 2005/yoghurt. Nearly similar results were reported by Shittu et al. (2016) and higher results were recorded Aman et al. (2021), while Lower results were recorded by Motawee and Saleh (2016).

In contrast to our findings Salisu et al. (2016) could not detect *Escherichia coli* in the examined samples. *Escherichia coli* was not detected in all examined rice with milk pudding samples. Similar results were recorded by Mamun et al. (2020) who could not detect *Escherichia coli* in examined rice with milk pudding samples. In contrast to our findings, Sotohy et al. (2022) showed that *Escherichia coli* was detected in 16% (8 samples) of rice with milk pudding samples.

Proteus spp. not detected in any examined samples. In contrast to our findings Gunduz and Tuncel (2006) found that most of the biofilm isolates were *Proteus*, Jerry et al. (2016) isolated *Proteus* spp. from the examined yoghurt samples and Chatli et al. (2014) found that *Proteus* spp. was detected in 12.5% of burfi samples as a milk-based deserts.

With respect to the present data, it is observed that hygienic measures are not applied during production of yoghurt and rice with milk pudding sold in Egyptian public markets.

CONCLUSION

With respect to the present data, it is observed that the microorganisms which isolated from yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli*) have already been formed on surfaces of equipment and utensils used in small dairy shops for production of dairy products, there is no significant difference between counts of these microorganisms in swab samples and counts of them in yoghurt and rice with milk pudding samples, except swab *Streptococcus* spp. count and yoghurt *Streptococcus* spp. count were significantly different. This may confirm cross-contamination from equipment and utensils surface to yoghurt and rice with milk pudding as a final dairy product of small dairy shops. Cross-contamination has been shown to be a risk that causes food poisoning outbreaks and economic losses. So that we can conclude that bacteria formed on dairy-processing equipment surfaces can act as a persistent source of milk products contamination by spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms which affect its quality, safety, and shelf life.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Latif, E.F., Saad, M.F., 2016. Effect of Bifidobacterium lactis on Quality of Rice Pudding as a Probiotic Food Carrier. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 5, 362-371.
- Alişarlı, M., Sancak, Y., Akkaya, L., Elibol, C., 2002. Determination of the microbiological quality of some dairy desserts. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences 26, 975-982.
- APHA (American public Health association), 1992. Standard method for the examination of dairy products. 16th ed., APHA. Washington D.C. USA.
- APHA (American Public Health Association), 2004. Standard Methods for Examination of Dairy Products. 17th Edition, Washington, DC 20001. USA.
- Aman, I., Al-Hawary, I., Elewa, S., El-Kassab, W., El-Magd, M., 2021. Microbiological evaluation of some Egyptian fermented dairy products. Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society 72, 2889-2896.
- Attalla, F.M., al-Libudi, Ahlam A., Al-Ansari, Mariya A., 2018. Safety and Microbiological Quality of Frozen dairy desserts sold in Alexandria City. AJVS. 59, 149-158.
- Bassi, D., Cappa, F., Gazzola, S., Orrù, L., Cocconcelli, P.S., 2017. Biofilm Formation on Stainless Steel by *Streptococcus thermophilus* UC8547 in milk environments is mediated by the proteinase PrtS. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 83, e02840-16.
- Brooks, J.D., Flint, S.H., 2008. Biofilms in the food industry: problems and potential solutions. International Journal of Food Science & Technology 43, 2163 - 2176.
- Chatli, A.S., Tangri, R., Chawla, H., Komal, R., 2014. Microbial quality evaluation of milk products. International Journal of Development Research. 4, 2623-2628.
- Costerton, W., Veoh, R., Shirtliff, M., Pasmore, M., Post, C., Ehrlich, G., 2003. The application of biofilm science to the study and control of chronic bacterial infections. J. Clin. Invest. 112,1466-77.
- Deibel, R.H., Hartman, P.A., 1984. The Enterococci. In: Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. Speck, M.L., Second Ed. Am. Pub. Health Association, Washington, D.C., pp. 405-410.
- Donlan, M., 2002. Biofilms: microbial life on surfaces. Emerging Infectious Diseases 8, 881-890.
- Egyptian Standards, 2005. Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality. ES: 1000/ 2005/ yoghurt.
- Eissa, E.A., Ahmed, M.I.A., Yagoub, A.E.A., Babiker, E.E., 2010. Physico-chemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics of yoghurt produced from goat's milk. Livestock Research for Rural Development 22, 247-253.
- Ertas, N., Gonulalan, Z., Yildirim, Y., Kum, E., 2010. Detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins in sheep cheese and dairy desserts by multiplex PCR technique. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 142, 74-77
- Flint, S.H., Bremer, P.J., Brooks, J.D., 1997. Biofilms in dairy manufacturing plant-description, current concerns and methods of control. Biofouling 11, 81-97.
- Flint, S.H., van den Elzen, H., Brooks, J.D., Bremer, P.J., 1999. Removal and inactivation of thermo-resistant Streptococci colonising stainless steel. Intl. Dairy J. 9,429-36.
- Flemming, H.C., Wingender, J., 2010. The biofilm matrix. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8, 623-633.
- Gonfa, A., Foster, H.A., Holzzapfel, W.H., 2001. Field survey and literature review on traditional fermented milk products of Ethiopia. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 68,173-86.
- Gulmez, M., Guven, A., 2003. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes* 4b and *Yersinia enterocolitica* O3 in different yogurt and kefir combinations as prefermentation contaminant. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95,631-6.
- Gunduz, G.T., Tuncel, G., 2006. Biofilm formation in an ice cream plant. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 89, 329-336.
- Hentzer, M., Eberl, L., Givskov, M., 2005. Transcriptome analysis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development: anaerobic respiration and iron limitation. Biofilms 2, 37-61.
- ISO, 2001. International organization for standardization. NO.16649. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuff- Horizontal method for the detection of *Escherichia coli* O157. Geneve, Switzerland.
- Jerry, O., Maduka, A.V., EkumaUchechukwu, O., Kenneth, I., Olaosebikan, O.O., Euslar, N.O., Chidimma, E.E., Boniface, O., 2016. Isolation of Bacteria Contaminants from Tetra Packed Yoghurt. IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS) 11, 75-77.
- Kokare, C.R., Chakraborty, S., Khopade, A.N., Mahadik, K.R., 2009. Biofilms: importance and applications. Indian Journal of Biotechnology 8, 159-168.
- Kukhtyn, M., Berhilevych, O., Kravcheniuk, K., Shynkaruk, O., Horyuk, Y., Semaniuk, N., 2017. Formation of biofilms on dairy equipment and the influence of disinfectants on them. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies 5, 26-33.
- Lajhar, S., Brownlie, J., Barlow, R., 2018. Characterization of biofilm-forming capacity and resistance to sanitizers of a range of *Escherichia coli* O26 pathotypes from clinical cases and cattle in Australia. BMC Microbiology 18,41.
- Lee, S.H., Mangolin, B.L., Gonçalves, J.L., Neeff, D.V., Silva, M.P., Cruz, A.G., Oliveira, C.A., 2014. Biofilm-producing ability of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from Brazilian dairy farms. J. Dairy Sci. 97, 1812-1816.
- Marques, S.C., Rezende, J.D.G.O.S., Alves, L. A. de F., Silva, B.C., Alves, E., Abreu, L.R. de., Piccoli, R.H., 2007. Formation of biofilms by *Staphylococcus aureus* on stainless steel and glass surfaces and its resistance to some selected chemical sanitizers. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 38, 538-543.
- Malek, F., Moussa-Boudjemâa, B., Khaouani-Yousfi, F., Kalai, A., Kihel, M., 2012. Microflora of biofilm on Algerian dairy processing lines: An approach to improve microbial quality of pasteurized milk. African journal of microbiology research 6 ,3836-3844.
- Mamun, A.A., Matin, A., Badsha, M.R., 2020. Proximate composition, sensory evaluation and microbial quality of a dairy product (Special Kheersa) formulated with psyllium husk and malta peel powder. Food Research 4, 1871-1877.
- McLean, R.J., Lawrence, J.R., Korber, D.R., Caldwell, D.E., 1991. *Proteus mirabilis* biofilm protection against struvite crystal dissolution and its implications in struvite urolithiasis. J. Urol. 146,1138-1142.
- Motawee, M.M., Saleh, N., 2016. Microbiological quality and safety of commercial market yogurt in Giza, Egypt. Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences 7, 11-17.
- Mungai, E.A., Behravesh, C.B., Gould, L.H., 2015. Increased outbreaks associated with nonpasteurized milk, United States, 2007-2012. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21,119-122.
- Myszka, K., Czaczyk, K., 2009. Characterization of adhesive exopolysaccharide (EPS) produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* under starvation conditions. Curr. Microbiol. 58, 541-546.
- Nayem, M.M.R., Ahmed, Z., 2013. Microbiological status of different sweet and sweet products in Dhaka City, Bangladesh. International Journal of Bio-Technology and Research (IJBT) 3, 1-10.
- Nwamaka, N.T., Chike, A.E., 2010. Bacteria population of some commercially prepared yoghurt sold in Enugu State, Eastern Nigeria. African Journal of Microbiology Research 4, 984-988.
- Nyenje, M.E., Odjadjare, C.E., Tanih, N.F., Green, E., Ndip, R.N., 2012. Food-borne pathogens recovered from ready-to-eat foods from roadside cafeterias and retail outlets in Alice, Eastern Cape Province, South Africa: public health implications. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 9, 2608-2619.
- Öner, Z., Ölmez, Z., 2011. Biofilm Producing Microorganisms in Dairy Industry and Prevention of Biofilm Formation. Akademik Gıda 9, 12-16.
- Salisu, M.D., Junaidu, A.U., Mohammed, A.K., Lamidi, O.S., Suleiman, A., Mustapha, H., Yusuf, M., Sani, R.T., Wunti Z.M., 2016. Microbiological quality assessment of commercially prepared yoghurt retailed in Sokoto state, Northwestern Nigeria. J. Anim. Prod. Res. 28,78-83.
- Sergelidis, D., Angelidis A.S., 2017. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a controversial food-borne pathogen. Letters in Applied Microbiology 64, 409-418.
- Sharma, M., Anand, S.K., 2002. Characterization of constitutive microflora of biofilms in dairy processing lines. Food Microbiol. 19, 627-636.
- Shittu, A.O., David, M.S., Aliyu, A., Bello, R.H., Olajide, R.O., 2016. Bacteriological Quality of Locally Fermented Milk (Nono) and Commercial Yoghurts Marketted in Ilorin. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research Development and Practice 1, 48-59.
- Sotohy, S.A., Abdel Naby, S.S., Sayed, H., Ewida R., 2022. Microbiological Quality Assessment of Dairy Desserts Sold in New Valley Governorate. New Valley Veterinary Journal 2, 28-35.
- Stoodley, P., Sauer, K., Davies, D.G., Costerton, J.W., 2002. Biofilms as complex differentiated communities. Annu. Rev. Microbio. 56, 187-209.
- Thaker, H.C., Brahmabhatt, M.N., Nayak, J.B., 2013. Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from milk and milk products and their drug resistance patterns in Anand, Gujarat. Vet. World 6, 10-13.
- Van Houdt, R., Michiels, C.W., 2010. Biofilm formation and the food industry, a focus on the bacterial outer surface. Journal of Applied Microbiology 109, 1117-1131.
- Venkitanarayanan, K.S., Doyle, M.P., 2003. *Escherichia coli*. In: Caballero, B., Trugo, L. C., Finglas, P. M. Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition, Second Ed. London, Academic Press 4, 2149-2152.