Gastrointestinal Helminthic Infections in Egyptian Domestic Camels, *Camelus dromedarius*, with a Special Reference to Trichostrongylids
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**ABSTRACT**

In Egypt, scarcity literature explored the coprological examination of domestic camels. Therefore, a total of 626 fecal samples from domestic dromedaries, *Camelus dromedarius*, permitted to slaughtering in El-Warrak abattoir, Giza were taken. Coproparasitological investigations including sedimentation and flotation techniques, fecal culture and larval identification were done. The overall prevalence of parasitic infections was 41.53%. Fifteen species of helminth eggs/protozoan oocysts were recovered. The prevalence of helminths was 28.11% (176/626) and that of protozoa was 5.59% (35/626). Mixed infections were reported in 7.82% (49/626) of camels. The revealed trematode was *Fasciola sp.* (1.12%), tapeworms belonged to *Anoplocephalids* (5.27%), protozoan oocysts were *Eimeria cameli*, *E. dromedarii*, *E. rajasthanii* (11.02% for all *Eimeria* spp.) and *Buxtonella sp.* (0.32%). The recovered nematodes belonged to *Trichuris* sp. (1.92%) and *trichostrongylides* (31.0%). Coproculture of the later revealed the presence of 8 species; *Trichostrongylus axei*, *Tr. colubriformis*, *Chabertia ovina*, *Ostertagia ostertagi*, *Haemonchus sp.*, *Oesophagostomum sp.*, *Bunostomum sp.* and *Nematodirus sp.* Morphometric characteristics of larvae were recorded. Age and seasonal variations revealed significant (P≤0.05) differences among examined camels. Animals aged more than 5 years had the highest infections rate (45.96%; 199/433) and nematodes were the significantly (P≤0.05) predominant. In winter, the highest prevalence (60.67%; 108/178) was recorded. Oppositely, sex had no significant differences. Due to the expected important role played by imported camels in transmitting various parasitic infections, veterinarians and parasitologists are extremely advised to apply further studies on the helminth fauna, particularly gastrointestinal nematodes, of both domestic and imported camels, by the use of traditional and molecular tools.

**Introduction**

Domesticated camels (dromedaries or one humped camels, *Camelus dromedarius*) are the largest species among camels. The terminology dromedary is initiated from a wide spread Greek word, dromos, that means road, reflecting basically both riding and racing (Radfar and Gowhari, 2013). Those animals are economically potential for countries encountered with their breeding. They are multipurpose animals i.e. they almost used for transport, meat and milk. Moreover, camel racing is a traditional sport in countries of the Arabian Peninsula, like Oman and the United Arab Emirates, and northern Africa, like Morocco. In these countries, they are commonly used by Bedouins in their long tribes (Wernery and Kadden, 2002; Khatami, 2016; Dubey and Schuster, 2018).

In Egypt, according to 1998 statistics, the number of camel populations was approximately 95,000 (Saber, 1998). Over the years, the number is declining, since camels are no longer, except in rare instances, used for transport as well as their being a protein source becomes limited. Recently, in 2016, the estimated camel population in the countryside was 66,228. Surprisingly, approximately 176,000 heads are annually imported, mostly, from East African countries mainly, Ethiopia and Sudan (Elfadaly, 2016).

Among the significant obstacles of the camel population production, is the parasitic infection, particularly with gastrointestinal helminths and coccidian oocysts. The majority of those parasites are responsible for the parasitic gastroenteritis (Parsoni et al., 2008; Radfar and Gowhari, 2013). Gastrointestinal helminthic infections of domesticated camels may be either common or occasional. Some helminths, like *Nematodirus dromedarii* and *Haemonchus longistipes*, are camels-specific, while others, like *Trichostrongylus spp.*, *Chabertia spp.*, *Oesophagostomum venulosum*, *Cooperia oncophora*, *Ostertagia ostertagi*, which infect a variety of domestic and wild animals, may be easily access to camels via the grazing habits on the same pastures. Among all, *Haemonchus spp.* are the most pathogenic with a significant impact and economic losses of the camel industry (Banaja and Ghardour, 1994; Wernery and Kadden, 2002; Parsoni et al., 2008; Radfar and Gowhari, 2013).

The pathogenic effect of gastrointestinal helminths is optimized by a massive infection that reduces the absorption of nutrients and increases the tissue damage. Simultaneously, helminth parasites not only reduce the productivity, quantitatively and qualitatively, and performance of camels but also predispose them to other infections (Rewatkar et al., 2009;...
Mouldi et al., 2015).

Due to being that most of gastrointestinal helminths infecting camels are detected only during post mortem in abattoirs (Borji et al., 2010), as well as the rearing habits by owners who prefer breeding in free-ranging systems, thus away from the veterinary care, it seems that the present surveillances and questionnaires are extremely insufficient. Therefore, the current study was conducted to explore the gastrointestinal helminthic infections, particularly nematodosis, in domestic camels in various localities in Egypt via coprological examination and identification of the recovered nematode species.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling

Fecal samples were collected from 626 one-humped camels (Camelus dromedarius) of both sexes at different ages during the period from March 2018 to March 2019 admitted to slaughtering in El-Warrak abattoir, Giza province (coordinates: 30° 1’ 0” N, 31° 13’ 0” E), Egypt. Fresh samples were taken directly from the rectum of slaughtered camels by disposable rectal gloves. Each sample was placed in clean universal plastic bags and labeled by data as age and sex then transported in an ice box to the laboratory of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt.

Coprological examination

The collected samples were examined using simple sedimentation method for trematodes eggs and flotation method for eggs of nematodes, tapeworms and coccidian oocysts according to the method described by Soulsby (1982) and Markel et al. (1999).

Fecal culture and harvesting trichostrongylid larvae

The pooled camelid fecal pellets were large-sized, so they were thoroughly crumbled before being mixed with water to produce more or less pasty mixture, which is slightly compacted, in a depth of about 5 cm in wide glass jars of approximately 1 L capacity. A hole is left in the centre of the culture by holding a stamper vertically in the centre of the jar, leaving the mixtures lightly compacted around it. The culture was sufficiently moistened to avoid dryness while being incubated, but without being water-logged. Thereafter, jars were incubated in the dark at 26-28 °C for 5-7 days, during which it was periodically checked and moistened if needed. After the end of the incubation period, the inside of the culture is slightly moistened to avoid dryness while being incubated, sufficient for eggs of nematodes, particularly trichostrongylids, is mainly based on the characterization of larval anterior and posterior ends, the whole larval length and the number of gut cells. The shape of the oesophagus and the presence of anterior refractile bodies are needed for some species (McMurtry et al., 2000; van Wyk and Mayhew, 2013). Upon the identification of larvae, the tip of the anterior extremity of a larva is referred to as ‘head’ and the posterior extremity as ‘tail’ and the free sheath beyond the tail tip as the sheath tail extension (STE). The latter is a unique diagnostic feature for the accurate and appropriate identification of nematodal larvae.

Larval photographing

Available photographs of larvae were taken using a digital microscope (Leica microsystems, CH-9435 Heerbrugg, Ec3, Singapore). Measurements of the recovered larvae were in micrometers (El-Dakhly et al., 2012).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using a Microsoft Excel worksheet for Windows 2010. Data were summarized by descriptive statistics for the overall prevalence in camels. The Chi square test was used to analyze the effect of risk factors; age, sex and seasons, on the overall parasitic infections. Variables were significant at P≤ 0.05.

Results

It has been found that the overall infection rate was 41.53% (260/626). Fifteen species of both helmint eggs and protozoan cysts were recovered. Helmint eggs were detected in 28.11% (176/626) of the examined fecal samples. The protozoan oocysts were found in 5.59% (35/626). Meanwhile, mixed infections of both helminths and protozoa were seen in 7.82% (49/626) (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. The overall of parasitic infections among domestic camels.](Image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of examined camels</th>
<th>Infected camels</th>
<th>Helminths</th>
<th>Protozoa</th>
<th>Mixed infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>41.53</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>28.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No.: Number of infected animals; %: Percentage of infection

Non-infected camels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-infected camels</th>
<th>Helminths</th>
<th>Protozoa</th>
<th>Mixed infections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58.47%</td>
<td>7.32%</td>
<td>28.11%</td>
<td>5.59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mixed infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among helminths, the prevalence of trichostrongyle eggs was 31.0% and that of *Trichuris* sp. was 1.92%. Tapeworm eggs, belonged to anoplocephalids (mostly *Moniezia* spp.), were recorded in 5.27%, while trematode eggs (*Fasciola* sp.) could be detected in 1.12%. *Eimeria* spp. (E. camelii, E. dromedarii and *E. rajasthani*) oocysts were observed in 11.02% and *Bus- tonella* sp. was recorded in percent of 0.32% of examined specimens. Meanwhile, the infection with both nematodes and *Eimeria* spp. were detected in 4.95% (31/626). The infection with tapeworms and nematodes were found in 1.27% (8/626). Both tapeworms and *Eimeria* spp. were seen in 0.95% (6/626) of examined specimens. Similarly, the infection by helminths and protozoa were revealed in 0.31% (2/626). Trematodes and tapeworms were recorded in 0.15% (one/626). Also, the mixed infection by trematodes and nematodes were found in 0.15% (one/626).

Concerning the age, it has been recorded that camels less than three years had the lowest infection rate. Among those, nematode helminths were identified in 20.0% (7/35). Protozoa were recovered in 5.71% (2/35), mixed infections were detected in 5.71% (2/35), trematode parasites were reported in 2.86% (one/35) and tapeworms were recovered in 2.86% (one/35) of examined camels. Among animals aged 3-5 years, 17.72% (28/158) had nematodosis. Mixed infections were observed in 5.06% (8/158). Meanwhile, protozoan oocysts were revealed in 4.43% (7/158), tapeworms were recorded in 2.53% (4/158) and trematodes were determined in 0.63% (1/158). Camels aged more than 5 years had the highest infection. Among those, nematodes were observed in 27.46% (119/433), mixed infections were found in 9.0% (39/433), protozoan oocysts were detected in 26/433 (6.0%), tapeworms were recovered in 2.77% (12/433) and trematodes were identified in 3.0% (3/433). There was a significant difference of the total parasitic infections (Chi-square value was 11.86 at P value 0.0026), particularly nematodes (Chi-square value was 6.37 at P value 0.04) (Table 2).

Regarding sex, infection rates in male camels with helminths and/or coccidian oocysts were (22.90%; 112/489), (8.38%; 41/489), (5.93%; 29/489), (2.86%; 14/489) and (0.61%; 3/489) for nematodes, mixed infections, protozoal oocysts, tapeworms and trematodes, respectively. Moreover, prevalences in she-camels were (30.66%; 42/137), (5.84%; 8/137), (4.38%; 6/137), (2.19%; 3/137) and (1.46%; 2/137) for nematodes, mixed infections, protozoal oocysts, tapeworms and trematodes, respectively. Based on the sex, there was non-significant infection rate difference in camels (Table 3).

The effect of seasonal variation on the parasitic infection revealed that the highest prevalence was found in winter followed by autumn and spring, and then began to decline in summer. In winter, nematodes were the highest (31.46%; 56/178), mixed infections were reported in 15.73% (28/178), protozoan oocysts were seen in 10.11% (18/178), tapeworms were recorded in 3.37% (6/178) and trematodes were absent. In autumn, infection rates were 30.22% (68/225), 4.0% (9/225), 1.78% (4/225), 2.67% (6/225) and 0.44% (one/225) for nematodes, mixed infections, protozoal oocysts, tapeworms and trematodes, respectively. In spring, nematodes were revealed in 21/106 (19.81%), mixed infection were recovered in 9/106 (8.49%), protozoan oocysts in 8/106 (7.55%) and tapeworms in one/106 (0.94%). However, trematodes were not recorded. In summer, nematodes were obtained in 7.69% (9/117), protozoan oocysts in 4.27% (5/117), tapeworms in 3.42% (4/117), trematodes in 3.42% (4/117) and mixed infections were elucidated in 2.56% (3/117). Statistically, significant differences

### Table 2. The prevalence of helminth and/or coccidian parasites in examined camels relative to the age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less than 3 year (n=35)</th>
<th>3-5 years (n=158)</th>
<th>More than 5 years (n=433)</th>
<th>Chi-square value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nematodes</td>
<td>128/158</td>
<td>61/158</td>
<td>67/433</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapeworms</td>
<td>29/158</td>
<td>4/158</td>
<td>3/433</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>5/158</td>
<td>4/158</td>
<td>1/433</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed infection</td>
<td>6/158</td>
<td>1/158</td>
<td>1/433</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No.: Number of infected animals; %: Percentage of infection; *: Significant at P ≤ 0.05

### Table 3. The prevalence of helminth and/or coccidian parasites in camels relative to sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n=489)</th>
<th>Females (n=137)</th>
<th>Chi-square value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nematodes</td>
<td>127/489</td>
<td>61/137</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapeworms</td>
<td>29/489</td>
<td>4/137</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>5/489</td>
<td>1/137</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed infection</td>
<td>6/489</td>
<td>1/137</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No.: Number of infected animals; %: Percentage of infection; *: Significant at P ≤ 0.05

### Table 4. The prevalence of helminth and/or coccidian parasites in camels relative to seasonal variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Chi-square value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nematodes</td>
<td>127/178</td>
<td>61/106</td>
<td>39/106</td>
<td>36.79</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapeworms</td>
<td>29/178</td>
<td>4/106</td>
<td>5/106</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>5/178</td>
<td>1/106</td>
<td>6/106</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed infection</td>
<td>6/178</td>
<td>1/106</td>
<td>7/106</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No.: Number of infected animals; %: Percentage of infection; *: Significant at P ≤ 0.05
were detected in the total parasitic infection (Chi-square was 47.97 at P value 0.00001), nematodes (Chi-square was 31.3 at P value 0.00001), trematodes (Chi-square was 4.7 at P value 0.029), protozoa (Chi-square was 14.24 at P value 0.002) and mixed infections (Chi-square was 24.53 at P value 0.00001) (Table 4).

Among the recovered nematodes, it has been found that the application of fecal culture on the obtained fecal specimens revealed the presence of 8 trichostrongylid species, namely *Trichostrongylus axei*, *Tr. colubriformis*, *Chabertia ovina*, *Ostertagia ostertagi*, *Haemonchus* sp., *Oesophagostomum* sp., *Bunostomum* sp. and *Nematodirus* sp.

**Trichostrongylus axei**

The total length was 557.14-628.57 µm. It was a short and straight. The head was rounded and the gut had 16 intestinal cells. The tail had a simple and blunt terminal end. It had a short STE ranged from 28.57-42.85 µm without a filament (Fig. 2 a-c).

**Tr. colubriformis**

Similarly, it was more or less closely related to *Trichostrongylus axei*, but the tail end had 2-3 tubercles (bifid structure) (Fig. 2 a, b, d).

**Chabertia ovina**

Long larva (the total length is 800 µm). The head was square-shaped. It had 28-32 intestinal cells which were rectangular-shaped. It had a long thin tail sheath (133.5 µm long). The filament was medium-sized measuring approximately 30% of the tail sheath long) (Fig. 3).

**Ostertagia ostertagi**

The total length was 671.4 µm. The head was rounded. It contained 16 intestinal cells. It had a short tail sheath (57.14 µm) and blunt tail tip without a filament (Fig. 4).

---

Fig. 2. The 3rd larval stage of *Trichostrongylus* spp. a) The whole larva of Tr. axei. b) The Anterior part of *Trichostrongylus* spp. c) The posterior part of Tr. axei. d) The posterior part of Tr. colubriformis.

Fig. 3. The 3rd stage larva of *Chabertia ovina*. a) The anterior part. b) The posterior part.

Fig. 4. The 3rd stage larva of *Ostertagia ostertagi*. 
**Haemonchus sp.**

A medium-sized larva (the total length ranged from 571.42-685.71 µm). The head was bullet. It had 16 alternating zigzag-shaped intestinal cells. It had a medium tail sheath (71.4 µm long). The tail was pointed. The filament constituted 10-15% of the total tail sheath (7.1 µm long) (Fig. 5).

**Oesophagostomum sp.**

The total length ranged from 714.28-800 µm (long larva). The head was rounded. It had approximately 22 triangular-shaped intestinal cells. It had a long thin tail sheath (it ranged from 128.5-142.8 µm). The larva terminated in a simple point. The filament was long (73 µm long, approximately 60% of STE) (Fig. 6).

**Bunostomum sp.**

A medium-sized larva (the total length was 628.57 µm). The head was bullet and it had 16 intestinal cells. It had along thin tail sheath measuring 85.7 µm. It had a wide body. The filament was long, measuring 42.85 µm (approximately 50% of STE) (Fig. 7).

**Nematodirus sp.**

A very long larva, measured 885.0 µm. It had a rounded head. STE measured 214.28 µm. The terminal end of the tail was notched (fish-like). The filament measured approximately 100 µm (46.7% of the STE) (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

Domestic camels, *Camelus dromedarius*, are frequently parasitized with various helminth and protozoan species. Uniquely, they can withstand many important parasitic infections, so they appear less reliable to clinically-diagnosed helminthic infections that have potentially economic impact (Parsani et al., 2008; Borji et al., 2010). Endoparasitosis, in heavy infections, in camels often associated with a loss of the production particularly in long standing infections (Richard, 1989). Among those, the intestinal helminths greatly reduce the nutrients absorption causing tissue damage of the alimentary tract like retarded growth and emaciation (Faye, 1997; Rewatkar et al., 2009; Hamed, 2018). Recently, gastrointestinal parasitism of camels got more interest as the animal feeding, breeding and diseases control have the ability to reduce the

---

Fig. 5. The 3rd stage larva of *Haemonchus* sp. a) The whole larva. b) The posterior end.

Fig. 6. The 3rd stage larva of *Oesophagostomum* sp.

Fig. 7. The 3rd stage larva of *Bunostomum* sp. a) The anterior part. b) The posterior part.

Fig. 8. The 3rd stage larva of *Nematodirus* sp. a) The whole larva. b) The posterior part. Note the characteristic notched (fish-like) tail.
promising growth and production, thus, adversely affect the global camels husbandry (Radfar and Gowhari, 2013; El-Khabaz et al., 2019).

The present work revealed that the overall prevalence of helminth eggs/protozoan oocysts, was 41.53% (260/626). Helminth eggs were detected in 28.11% of camels, protozoan oocysts were found in 5.59% and mixed infections of both were seen in 7.82% of animals. Fifteen species of both parasitic stages were recovered. Helminth eggs were those of various gastrointestinal nematodes, *Trichuris* sp., *Moniezia* sp. and *Fasciola* spp. Protozoan oocysts were those of coccidian parasites, *Eimeria* spp. (E. cameli, E. dromedarii and E. rajasthanii) and *Buxtonella* sp. Among risk factors affecting the infection rates, significant difference, particularly for nematodes, was displayed relative to the age, and variable significant discrepancies for the recovered parasitic species (except for tapeworms) in relation to the seasons. No significant differences related to the sexes could be detected. Mixed infections with helminth eggs and protozoan oocysts, or even within the same class, were common. In Egypt, little previous literature with co-parasitological studies in domestic camels were done. Among those, Mahmoud et al. (2008), in the southern Egypt, detected that 41.08% of domestic camels were infected with nematode eggs. The recovered species were *Nematodirus* sp., *Trichuris* sp., *Strongyloides* sp. and *Trichostrongyulus* sp. The later was the most predominant (20.0%). They revealed seasonal variations-based infection rates, but the effect of age and sex was not studied. Ahmed et al. (2013) reported a helminthic infection rate of 51.02% in camels slaughtered in Toukh, Qalyubia province. In Assuit, Hamed (2018) detected that 77.5% of camels had parasitic eggs and protozoan oocysts. The recovered parasites were *Eimeria* spp. (55.0%), *Trichostrongyulus* spp. (45.0%), *Trichuris* sp. (35.0%), *Moniezia* spp. (5.0%) and *Ascaris* spp. (5.0%). Moreover, she recorded both single and mixed infections. In Aswan province, El-Khabaz et al. (2019) reported a parasitic infection rate of 60.0% (72/120) in domestic camels coprologically examined. They added that, eighteen species of helminthes/protozoan parasites could be detected. The nematodes, *trichostrongyles*, were the most predominant (12.5%).

In related African countries, Dia (2006) found only strongyle and *Moniezia* spp. eggs in Burkina Faso. In Tanzania, Swai et al. (2011) proved an infection rate of 62.7% with eleven species of helminth/protozoan parasites eggs/oocysts based on the coprological examination. Parallel to the current findings, they revealed a higher infection rates for *Trichostrongyulus* spp. (27.7%) rather than *Eimeria* spp. (9.9%). Also, they detected significant differences among age grouping. Oppositely, they detected that female camels significantly harboured gastrointestinal parasites eggs than males. In Ethiopia, Birhanu et al. (2014) revealed that the overall prevalence of gastrointestinal nematodes was 55.5%. The most common genera were strongyle eggs (48.7%) followed by *Trichuris* sp. (3.9%). Mixed infections were seen in 2.9%. In Nigeria, Mahmuda et al. (2014) reported that the overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites was 78.0%. The revealed parasites were strongyles eggs (62.96%), *Strongyloides* spp. (9.26%), *Trichuris* spp. (7.14%) and coccidian oocysts (8.3%). Moreover, El-Khabaz et al. (2019) reported an overall prevalence of 79.0% on fecal examination in Somali state. Ethiopia. They revealed strongyle eggs (64.7%), *Strongyloides* spp. (22.3%), *Trichuris* spp. (12.2%), *Paraphistomum* spp. (1.7%), *Fasciola* spp. (2.1%) and *Moniezia* spp. (8.4%). They found that, among risk factors, the age was significantly associated with the helminthic infection.

In Asian countries, Cirak et al. (2011) recorded that coprological examination revealed the presence of *Trichostrongyulus* spp., *Teladorsagia* spp., *Nematodirus* spp., *Trichuris* spp., *Capillaria* spp., *Anoplocephalidae*, *Dicrocoelium dendriticum*, *Eimeria camelii* and *E. rajasthanii*. In India, Chaturvedi et al. (2012) detected a lower prevalence, 28.5%. They detected strongyle, *Trichuris* and *Strongyloides* spp. eggs (71.92, 21.05 and 7.01%). In Iran, Radfar and Gowhari (2013) yielded that fecal examination revealed strongyel eggs and *Eimeria* oocysts in percentages of 64% and 24%, respectively. They revealed a significant association relative to the age of examined camels. Moreover, Al-Megrin (2015), in Saudi Arabia, detected that 59.6% of examined camels were infected with gastrointestinal parasites. Coprological examination recovered eggs of strongyles, *Moniezia* spp. and *Stilesia* spp. as well as *E. camelii* oocysts. She found the highest intestinal parasitic infections in summer. In Australia, Rashid et al. (2019) found that based on egg morphology, the overall prevalence of gastrointestinal nematodes in camels was 61.0% while that for strongyles was 53%. They detected *Trichostrongyulus* spp., *Haemonchus* spp. and *Camelostrongyulus mentulatus* the most predominant gastrointestinal nematodes. Furthermore, they recorded that the infection rate was higher in the winter rainfall zone rather than summer rainfall areas.

Currently, the infection rates were higher in male animals. Aged camels, more than 5 years, had the highest prevalence. Seasonally, it has been found that winter had the highest infection rate, followed by autumn, spring and summer. In Egypt, Ahmed et al. (2013) stated that ages of 1-5 years more susceptible to the parasitic infections, native breed had a higher infection rate than other breeds, and the high prevalence was significantly recorded in spring. Coinciding with the present findings, Birhanu et al. (2014) revealed infection rates of 69.05% in camels aged 5-10 years and 53.8% in those of more than 10 years. Moreover, they added that male animals were highly (64.7%) infected than females (55.04%). Similarly, Mahmuda et al. (2014) mentioned that lower-aged (90.0%) camels had a higher helminthic infection rate than old-aged (70.0%) animals, and the prevalence in male camels was 57.69%, while in females it was 42.31%.

It seemed that the tropical agro-climatic conditions, including the presence of wide deserts, high temperature and infrequent rainfall seasons, free-ranging management, the grazing behavior of dromedary camels as well as hygienic measures and anthelmintics treatment extremely contributed the higher prevalences (often more than 40%) of gastrointestinal parasites, particularly strongyles, in both African, including Egypt, and Asian countries. It is worth considering that the traditional habits of owners, that they prefer rearing in free-ranging system permit the existence of camels with various carrier and reservoir hosts, like cattle, sheep and goats, with higher possibilities to the transmission of parasitic stages (Abou El-Naga and Barghash, 2016; El-Khabaz et al., 2019). In fact, the discrepancy in infection rates might be probably associated the intensity of infection, number of adult parasites in the gastrointestinal tract, stage of the parasitic infection, the level of host immune response or the improper animal management (Sharrif et al., 1996; El-Khabaz et al., 2019). Interestingly, during the long trips done by camels as well as the unexpected and drastically alterations in climatic conditions in various seasons, the maintenance and development of parasitic larval stages are greatly variant, thus explaining the irregular occurrence of parasitic helminth eggs and/or protozoan cysts among different districts, even of the same country (Mahmoud et al., 2008).

Regarding gastrointestinal (GIT) nematodes, the present work revealed, based on coprological examination and fecal culture, the presence of the third larval stages (L3) of 8 trichostrongylids; *Trichostrongyulus axei*, *Tr. colubriformis*, *Chabertia ovina*, *Ostertagia ostertagi*, *Haemonchus sp.*, *Oesophagostomum sp.*, *Bunostomum* sp. and *Nematodirus* sp. For an accurate larval identification, both the anterior (head)
and posterior (tail) extremities are useful taxonomic features. Meanwhile, the morphology of L3 tail could be used as a unique criterion to differentiate larvae of GIT nematodes, particularly trichostrongyles (McMurtry et al., 2000). The length of L3 might be varied as a result to the host immune mechanism that may alter the size of both eggs and larvae (Hong and Timms, 1986; Douch et al., 1988; McMurtry et al., 2000).

It has been found that the number of tubercles on the tail of the L3 can be used to identify and differentiate Trichostrongylus spp. Accordingly, L3 with a simple tail is recognized as Tr. axei, and that with 2-3 tubercles is defined Tr. colubriformis (Hollo et al., 1970; Anonymous 1986). Herein, Trichostrongylus axei and Tr. colubriformis were revealed. The length of both the larva and its STE may vary according to the amount of moisture in the culture medium, so, an expertise of such instance is requested to maintain the whole measurements consistent throughout (Rossanino and Gruner, 1996; van Wyk et al., 2004).

L3 of Chabertia ovina is characterized by the long whole length, square-shaped head and rectangular-shaped intestinal cells (> 16) with the tail filament approximately 25-30% of STE (van Wyk and Mayhew, 2013). C. ovina L3 was yielded in this study. Ostertagia ostertagi is identified based on the rounded-shaped head, 16 triangular intestinal cells and a blunt tail tip without a filament. The current study revealed the occurrence of O. ostertagi. Haemonchus sp. L3 were obtained from feces of examined camels. Characteristically, it has bulbous head, zigzag-shaped intestinal cells (Veglia, 1916) and a pointed tail. Meanwhile, the fecal culture media revealed the presence of Oesophagostomum sp. L3. It had a characteristic long filament (van Wyk and Mayhew, 2013). The same authors reported that L3 of Bunostomum sp. has bulb head and a long filament. Accordingly, Bunostomum sp. was obtained in this work. Due to being the fact that Nematodirus sp. eggs need up to 14 days for hatching, fungal overgrowth commonly occurs. Therefore, it was interesting to obtain Nematodirus sp. in the present investigation (van Wyk and Mayhew, 2013). Uniquely, L3 of Nematodirus sp. is very long and the terminal end of the tail is notched (fish-like).

Conclusion

Further questionnaires and studies, including molecular approach, are required to screen various helmhinth and protozoan parasites in camels, both native and imported, in Egypt.
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