

Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research

https://advetresearch.com



Inhibitory Effect of some Nanomaterials on *Streptococcus* species Producing Biofilm Isolated from some Dairy Farms

Walaa M. Elsherif^{1*}, Sayed H. Elhabtey²

¹Food Hygiene Department-Animal Health Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt. ²Bacteriology Department- Animal Health Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Original Research

Received: 20 September 2020

Accepted: 06 October 2020

Keywords:

Imb, brpA genes, Chitosan nanoparticles,CNPs, Carvacrol nanoemulsions CNE, Milking utensils Multidrug resistant, Streptococcus (Strep.) producing biofilm (SPB) considered the most important environmental pathogens associated with concern problems in dairy farms and confers public health hazard. This cohort study aimed to evaluate Strep. spp. in dairy farms, antibiotic resistance pattern against 15 antibiotics, and detected the ability of isolates to biofilm formation phenotypically by quantitative (polystyrene), qualitative (Congo Red Agar (CRA)) and Geno-typically by *lmb* and *brpA* genes. The minimum biofilm eradication concentration (MBEC) of chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs) and carvacrol nanoemulsions (CNE) were investigated in vitro by polystyrene method (which is considered the first record, according to the authors knowledge) in field by washing milking utensils. A total of 150 milk samples (cows and buffaloes) were collected, in addition to 48 swabs from milking utensils of different farms in Assiut Governorate, Egypt. The prevalence of Strep. spp. was 21.72% of all examined samples and the most frequently isolated pathogen was Strep. agalactia (12.6 %). Overall, there were 14% of isolates resistant to vancomycin. Strep. uberis revealed the highest resistance to most antibiotics used and 80% of it considered biofilm producers. SPB were classified to strong (23.3%), moderate (32.5%), weak (27.9%) and non-producers (16.3%) by polystyrene method, while, 55.8% considered biofilm producers by CRA. All SPB were positive to *lmb* and only three were negative to *brpA* genes. The prepared nanomaterials were characterized by Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) and the MBECs were 30% and 20 % for CNPs and CNE, respectively. In addition, complete inhibition to SPB in milking utensils occurred at 10 and 20 minutes from washing by CNE 20% and CNPs 30%, respectively. The present study concluded that most of the isolated Strep. spp. are biofilm producers, which are resistant to studied chemotherapeutic agents. CNE is considered more efficient than CNPs for elimination of SPB.

Introduction

A biofilm is a microbial-derived sessile formed by self-produced polysaccharide matrix extracellular polymeric substances attached to biotic or non-biotic surfaces (sessile cells) (Nilsson *et al.*, 2019; Subhaswaraj and Siddhardha, 2020). The microorganisms can be transmitted from the planktonic cells to this sessile mode of life leading to cause severe infection in both of humans and animals and cannot be eradicated by antimicrobial agents (Borges *et al.*, 2020). The biofilms formation are considered the most dangerous not only because they form a defensive barrier against other pathogens (in case of the host microbiota), but because they also generate a break line between minor and debilitating infectious diseases, thus promote horizontal spread of resistance determinants and performs human health risk (Rosini and Margarit, 2015; Galié *et al.*, 2018).

Strep. spp. can form biofilms on natural or abiotic surfaces

*Corresponding author: Walaa M. Elsherif *E-mail address*: me.elsherif@yahoo.com J. Adv. Vet. Res. (2020), 10 (4),241-249

such as glass (hydrophilic) or polystyrene (hydrophobic), and also can be detected in closed dairy farm system (Marks *et al.*, 2014).

Strep. spp. is considered one of the most important environmental threat (Song *et al.*, 2020), which cause several diseases in human, contagious mastitis in dairy animals and recently isolated from different animal's body sites and environmental surroundings of dairy farms and plants (Cobo-Angel *et al.*, 2018; Dufour *et al.*, 2019). *Strep. agalactiae* was recognized as a pathogen in cattle causing acute or chronic mastitis, which lead to diminishing milk production. Outbreaks in herds are common by this pathogen with a major significance for the dairy industry (Ariffin *et al.*, 2019; Cobo-Angel *et al.*, 2019). *Strep.* spp. pathogenicity increased with their antimicrobial resistance threating to both human and animal health (Oppegaard *et al.*, 2020) rather than their ability to form biofilm (Alves-Barroco *et al.*, 2019).

Recently, carvacrol (2-methyl-5-(1-methylethyl) phenol) (CVC), which is a phenolic monoterpene found in the essential oils of herbs like thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*), marjoram (*Origanum majorana*), oregano (Origanum vulgari L.), pepperwort (*Lepidium* sp.) (Campos *et al.*, 2018). Its nano-emulsions have been studied as anti-biofilm gent, where it acts as antimicrobial agent, consequently, it is widely used as a food additive (lannitelli *et al.*, 2011). Chitosan and carvacrol has good biocompatibility so has been approved for use by the United States Food and Drug Administration as a drug carrier and bioengineering material (Divya and Jisha, 2018). Chitosan nanoparticles could inhibit the growth of various bacteria by disruption of the cell membranes and leakage of the cytoplasm (Divya and Jisha, 2018).

There are several genes responsible for biofilm formation, most important genes are *brpA* and *lmb*. Nevertheless, the environmental condition is responsible for genetic expression and regulation (Santos *et al.*, 2020).

This problem may be not only limiting the option for effective treatment but also spreading of the resistance genes from contaminated milk to human normal flora (Oppegaard *et al.*, 2020). In addition to that, the formation of mature biofilm protects bacterial cells from antibiotic therapy and impede the host immune system from recognizing the presence of infection (Subhaswaraj and Siddhardha, 2020). Therefore, the treatment of biofilm-related infections requires high doses and long-term use of antibiot¬ics and the resultant inactivation is not always satisfactory. Animal consumption of low concentrations of antibiotics have even been reported to enhance the growth of milk bacterial biofilms (Alves-Barroco *et al.*, 2019).

Therefore, novel and innovative approaches are needed for the prevention of biofilm formation and the treatment of formed biofilm related infectious diseases. Recent progress in nano¬technology provides a new approach for eradication of biofilm formation. This study aimed to investigate SPB and its antimicrobial resistance in milk and milking utensils in some dairy farms, as well as studied the effect of chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs) and carvacrol nanoemulsion (CNE) on biofilm formed by *Strep.* spp.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

The study was conducted on different dairy farms located in Assiut province, Egypt. A total of 198 samples (75 for each buffalo's and cow's milk samples (150 for total raw milk samples), and 48 swabs from milking utensils were collected during the period from July to October of 2019. Milk in sterile separate tubes, and swab samples from the inner surface of milking utensils were labeled and carried on ice tank to be transferred with a minimum delay to the Animal Health Research, Assiut laboratory for bacteriological examination.

Streptococcus spp. isolation and identification

The samples were incubated into Dextrose azide broth (Himedia Co.) at 37°C for 24-48 h. Then streaked onto *Streptococcus* selective agar (Sigma-Aldrich) with 5-10% sheep blood. The suspected colonies were subjected to Gram's staining and conventional biochemical tests according to Quinn *et al.* (2004).

Antimicrobial resistance pattern

It was carried out according to Quinn *et al.* (2004) using agar disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar. The isolated *Streptococci* strains were tested against 15 antibiotic discs, which related to different categories of chemotherapeutic agents. The antibiotic discs were penicillin (10 IU), ampicillin (10 μ g), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (30 μ g), cephradin (30 μ g), cefotaxime (3 μ g) Ceftiofur (10 μ g), oxytetracycline (30 μ g), doxycycline (25 μ g), streptomycin (10 μ g), gentamycin (10 μ g), lincomycin (2 μ g), vancomycin (30 μ g), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (25 μ g), erythromycin (15 μ g), florofinicole (30 μ g) oxoid Hamp-shire, UK. The interpretation of inhibition zones of the tested isolates was carried out according to CLSI (2015)

Biofilm formation assay

Polystyrene method

Biofilm production on polystyrene was carried according to Ferreira et al. (2012). Briefly, 100 µl of Strep. spp. culture (OD570 =0.6) was added to 100 µl of Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) glucose, 1% (w/v) sucrose and 1% (w/v) lactose in a 96 well plate and mixed by pipetting. A 200 µl from previously prepared TSB was used as control. The plate was sealed and incubated for 20 h. at 37°C. The OD570 was measured in a spectrophotometer. The supernatant was carefully removed, and each well was washed twice with sterile saline solution (0.85% w/v) to remove non-adherent bacteria and dried at 65°C for 1 h. The biofilm was stained with crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich, St.) 1% (w/v) for 1 min. The wells were washed again gently with sterile distilled water four times (until the control-wells dye was completely removed). The optical density (OD) at 570 nm (OD570=0.6) of the stained biofilm was directly measured in the plate reader. Interpretation of biofilm formation was performed according to the criteria previously described (Stepanović et al., 2007) and the isolates were therefore categorized as follows: non-producer (OD≤0.060), weak producer (OD between 0.060-0.120), moderate producer (OD between 0.120-0.240), strong producer (OD > 0.240) as reported by Ferreira *et al.* (2012).

Congo Red Agar (CRA) binding assay

The isolates were cultivated on BHIA (Difco) with 0.08% (w/v) congo red (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) supplemented with 5% (w/v) sucrose (Elgomhorea Co., Egypt). The strains were inoculated in streaks and incubated at 35°C under aerobic conditions for 48 h. The *Streptococcus* biofilm producer strains formed black colonies, while the non-biofilm producer strains formed red colonies (Kaur *et al.*, 2009).

Biofilm genes identification

SPB strains were sent to the Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control, Biotechnology Unit in Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, for detection of *brpA* and *lmb* genes as follow:

DNA extraction

DNA extraction was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) with modifications from the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 200 μ l of the sample suspension was incubated with 10 μ l of proteinase K and 200 μ l of lysis buffer at 56°C for 10 min. After incubation, 200 μ l of 100% ethanol was added to the lysate. The sample was then washed and centrifuged following the manufacturer's recommendations. Nucleic acid was eluted with 100 μ l of elution buffer provided in the kit.

Oligonucleotide Primer

Primers used were supplied from Metabion (Germany) are listed in Table 1.

14010 1.	i inners sequences, unger genes, unp	neon sizes and	cyching contai	hions					
Target gene	Primers sequences	Amplified	Primary	Amplification	Final avtancian	Pafaranaa			
	T finiers sequences	segment (bp)	denaturation	Secondary denaturation	Annealing	Extension	Tillar extension	Reference	
brpA	TGAAGCTAAGTTGAATGCTGC	524	94°C	94°C	42°C	72°C	72°C	Alves-Barroco	
	GAACCACCATCAGACAAGGT	554	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	45 sec.	10 min.	et al. (2019)	
lmb	AGTCAGCAAACCCCAAACAG	207	94°C	94°C	50°C	72°C	72°C	Kaczorek <i>et al</i> (2017)	
	GCTTCCTCACCAGCTAAAACG	397	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	40 sec.	10 min.		

Table I. Primers sequences, target genes, amplicon sizes and cycling conditions

PCR amplification

The volume of the PCR reaction mixture was 25 μ l contained 12.5 μ l of Emerald Amp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1 μ l of each primer of 20 pmol concentration, 4.5 μ l of water, and 5 μ l of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an Applied Biosystem 2720 thermal cycler (Biometra, Gottingen, Germany).

Analysis of the PCR Products

The products of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel (Applichem, Germany, GmbH) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature using gradients of 5V/cm. For gel analysis, 20 µl of the products was loaded in each gel slot. Gelpilot 100 bp and 100 bp plus DNA ladders (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) were used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Effect of chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs) and carvacrol nanoemulsion (CNE) on biofilm formation

Preparation of CNPs and CNE

Nano chitosan was prepared following the procedure described by Calvo et al. (1997). A 2.5 mg/mL chitosan solution was prepared by dissolving chitosan in a 0.05% (v/v) acetic acid solution and leaving it under stirring for 24 h. The pH was adjusted to 5.5 with a 0.5 M sodium hydroxide solution and diluted in deionized water to the final desired concentrations. Sodium Tripolyphosphate (TPP) was dissolved in deionized water to a final concentration of 0.25 mg/mL. Then, the TPP solution was added to the chitosan solution drop wise (0.3 mL/min) at different TPP: chitosan ratios under vigorous magnetic stirring at room temperature. The resulting suspension was then left to gelify for 30 min. 2 v/v% Tween 80 was dissolved in double-distillated water at room temperature. The mixture was shaken with a magnetic type stirrer for 10 minutes to get a homogeneous solution. The essential oil was added slowly and mixed with a direct driven stirrer for 15 minutes. The resulting crude emulsion was sonicated using a 25 kHz ultrasonic Homogenizer (USH650, max power: 650 watt) (Ghosh et al., 2013). Then they were characterized by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Model JEOL-JEM-100CX II in the Electron Microscopy Unit, Assiut University, Egypt.

The minimum biofilm eradication concentration (MBEC) of CNPs and CNE

To measure the ability of CNPs and CNE to disrupt preformed biofilms, the strong biofilm producer bacteria (OD>0.240nm) were grown for 24 h in 96-well plates as mentioned previously (section: on polystyrene). The medium was then removed and biofilms on the wall of the wells were exposed to 200 μ L of tenfold serial dilutions (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 ml.) of tested compounds were added. The plates were then incubated for another 24 h at 35°C. The treated biofilm was washed three times with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS), air dried, and stained with 200 μ L of 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min. All wells were washed again twice with 200 μ L of sterile distilled water prior to addition of 200 μ L of 95% ethanol, and the OD was measured at 540 nm. Measurements were done in triplicate, and each experiment was repeated three times (Nilsson *et al.*, 2019). Percent of biofilm inhibition formation was calculated using the following equation (Jadhav *et al.*, 2013):

Biofilm inhibition (%) = OD (biofilm) - {OD (biofilm + extract)-OD (extract)}/OD (biofilm) X 100

CNPs and CNE application in dairy farms

The most effective concentrations (20% CNE and 30% CNPs on MBEC) were used to wash the milking utensils in the farms that previously selected for this study. Utensils swab samples were taken at zero time, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 min. The samples were delivered to the laboratory in ice bag at a temperature of 4–6°C within 1–3 h. The samples were retested for detection of *Strep.* spp. contamination as previously mentioned.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using program GraphPad Prism 5.04 (GraphPad, Inc., San Diego, USA). The data represented by using the Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet.

Results

Assay the incidence of Strep. spp. in dairy farms samples

It was found that *Strep.* spp. could be detected in 21.72% of total 198 samples collected. The highest incidence was for *Strep. agalactia* followed by *Strep. uberis*, and the lowest was for *Strep. pyogenes* in percentages of 12.6, 5.1 and 4.1, respectively. Most contaminated samples were milking utensils (45.8%), which were considered the most important source for infection transmission (Table 2).

Detection of multidrug resistance pattern to the isolated Strep. spp. and its relationship with biofilm formation

Most *Strep.* spp. (97.7%) were resistant to streptomycin, 72.1 % to oxytetracycline, penicillin (69.8%) and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (55.8%) (Table 3). The highest multidrug was resistance exhibited by *Strep. uberis*, all its isolates resisted streptomycin (100%), 80% of it resist oxytetracycline, penicillin, 70% was resistant to lincomycin and 60% to gentamycin, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (Table 3). Followed by *Strep. agalactia* then nearly the lowest one in multidrug resistance was *Strep. pyogenes*. On the other hand, the strongest biofilm formation by polystyrene method were observed by *Strep. uberis* (40%), *Strep. agalactia* (20%) then *Strep. pyogenes* (12.5%). Also, by CRA, 80% of *Strep. uberis*, 56% of *Strep. agalactia* and 25% of *Strep. pyogenes* were biofilm producers (Table 3 and Fig. 1).

			Total							
Isolated Strep. spp.	buffalo's m	ilk samples	Cow's mil	k samples	Milking Ute	nsils swabs	Total			
	No./75	%	No./75	%	No./48	%	No./198	%		
Strep. agalactia	5	6.67	7	9.33	13	27.1	25	12.6		
Strep. uberis	2	2.67	3	4	5	10.4	10	5.1		
Strep. pyogenes	2	2.67	2	2.67	4	8.3	8	4.1		
Total	9	12	12	16	22	45.8	43	21.72		

Table 2. Incidence of the different isolated Strep. spp. recovered from examined samples.

Table 3. Antimicrobial resistance pattern of the isolated Streptococci.

	Strep. agalactia		Sti	ep. uberis	Stre	p. pyogenes	Total		
Antibiotic discs	No./25	%	No./10	%	No./8	%	No./43	%	
Penicillin (10 IU)	17	68	8	80	5	62.5	30	69.8	
Ampicillin (10µg)	7	28	4	40	1	12.5	12	27.9	
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (30µ g)	1	4	1	10	1	12.5	3	7	
Cephradin (30µg)	11	44	5	50	3	37.5	19	44.2	
Cefotaxime (3µg)	8	32	4	40	2	25	14	32.6	
Ceftiofur (10µg)	0	0	0	0	1	12.5	1	2.3	
Oxytetracycline (30µg)	18	72	8	80	5	62.5	31	72.1	
Doxycycline (25µg)	9	36	5	50	2	25	16	37.2	
Streptomycin (10 µ g)	24	96	10	100	8	100	42	97.7	
Gentamycin (10µg)	11	44	6	60	3	37.5	20	46.5	
lincomycin (2µg)	12	48	7	70	4	50	23	53.5	
Vancomycin (30µ g)	3	12	1	10	2	25	6	14	
Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim (25µg)	13	52	6	60	5	62.5	24	55.8	
Erythromycin (15µ g)	6	24	5	50	1	12.5	12	27.9	
Florofinicole (30µg)	1	4	1	10	0	0	2	4.7	



Fig. 1. Detection of biofilm formation Strep. spp. by CRA. A: negative control, B: dark red colonies indicated non-biofilm producers, C, D: black colonies, biofilm producers

The ability of *Strep.* spp. to form biofilm not only assayed phenotypically, by quantitative (polystyrene), qualitative (CRA) methods but also, genetically by detecting the presence of prbA and *lmb* genes as clarified in Figs. 2 and 3.

Structural properties of CNPs and CNE

The shape and particle sizes were obtained by TEM (Fig. 4).

The effect of CNPs and CNE on SPB

By enhancement biofilm formation firstly polystyrene method was used at different concentrations from CNPs and CNE to detect the MBEC and as shown in Fig. 5. CNE at 20%

concentration and 30% of CNPs have biofilm eradication effect so, these concentrations were used by washing of dairy utensils.

According to the available literatures, this study is considered the first recorded in application of CNPs and CNE in dairy farm utensils in Assiut city, Egypt. The results revealed that CNPs could eradicate SPB at 30% for 20 min., while CNE could eradicate SPB at 20% for just 10 min., as shown in Fig. 6.

Discussion

The incidence of the pathogenic biofilm producing bacteria in dairy farms of developing countries is increased. *Strep.* spp. is the most pathogenic, wide spread organisms that are associated with dairy farm infections and generate important



Fig. 2. The amplified prbA gene of biofilm producers *Strep.* spp. recovered from dairy farms samples. L: Molecular marker, Lane pos.: Positive control, Lane Neg.: Negative control, Lanes 1-4, 7, 9-10: positive isolates, Lane 5, 6, 8: negative isolates.



Fig. 3. The amplified *lmb* gene of biofilm producers *Strep.* spp. recovered from dairy farms samples. L: Molecular marker, Lane pos.: Positive control, Lane Neg.: Negative control, Lanes 1-10: positive isolates.

losses in the dairy industry in Egypt. They considered as a risk factor for food poisoning in humans (Ariffin *et al.*, 2019; Ahmed *et al.*, 2020). Results from the present study revealed that *Strep*. isolates were detected in 12, 16 and 45.8% of buffalo's milk, cow's milk and milking utensils, respectively, which are similar to Hawari and Al-Dabbas (2008), Wyder *et al.*, (2011) and Tian *et al.*, (2019). While, higher results were detected by Sabry (2015) and Ahmed *et al.*, 2020). The overall isolation rate of *Strep*. spp. was 21.72% in the examined samples (Table 2). These findings were coordinated with that cited by Ahmed et al. (2020), but the recorded percentages were lower than that reported by El-Jakee et al. (2013), Sabry (2015) and AbdEL-Tawab *et al.* (2017), who observed that Strepto-coccal isolation rate were 55%, 40% and 65.3%, from buffalo's milk, cow's milk and milking utensils, respectively.

The current study found that the percentage of *Strep. agalactiae*, *Strep. uberis* and *Strep.* pyogenes were 27.1, 10.4 and 8.3% respectively, in milking utensils, lower percentages in cow's milk (9.33, 4.0, 2.67%) and the lowest percentages were detected in buffalo's milk (6.67, 2.67 and 2.67%) (Table 2), which indicated the highest probability of utensils contamination. Nearly higher results were recorded in milk by Minst *et al.* (2012), Cobo-Angel *et al.* (2019) and Tian *et al.* (2019), nearly similar results were reported by El-Jakee *et al.* (2013) and Sabry (2015), and lower than that was detected by Abd EL-Tawab *et al.* (2017).

The presence of *Strep.* spp. in raw milk could be attributed to the bad hygienic measures during the production and handling of milk in the studied dairy farms, since it is liable to contaminate milk through different sources as dust, air, water, equipment, milkers and handlers (Alekish *et al.*, 2013). Especially it could be isolated in the highest incidence (45.8%) in milk utensils. The risk of infection with zoonotic *Strep.* spp. appears greatest in those who drink unpasteurized milk. In human, *Strep.* spp. may in fact have caused historical milkborne outbreaks of septic sore throat and scarlet fever, which was attributed to hemolytic *Strep.* pyogenes (Cunningham, 2008; Beres *et al.*, 2010). Also, Cobo-Angel *et al.* (2019) recorded the transmission of group B *Streptococcus*, between people and dairy cattle, which resulted in neonatal death, and reported as an emerging pathogen in adults and also, caused bovine intra-mammary infections.

The results of the antimicrobial resistance pattern (Table 3), revealed that Strep. uberis isolates were highly resistant to streptomycin (100%), 80% for oxytetracycline and penicillin, 70% for gentamycin and 60% for lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. Strep. agalactia also resist the same mentioned antibiotics but at lower percentages. These results were coincide with results reported by many authors (Boutet et al., 2005; Nunes et al., 2007; Pitkala et al., 2008, Rüegsegger et al., 2014; Kia et al., 2014). On the other hand, these results disagree with those obtained by Moges et al. (2011) and El-Jakee et al. (2013), who reported that Strep. agalactia was sensitive to sulfisoxzale and kanamycin by 100 % and 80%, respectively. the obtained findings revealed that Strep. pyogenes was resistant for most antibiotics as streptomycin (100%), 62.5% for penicillin, oxytetracycline and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, 50% for Lincomycin and 25% for vancomycin. Results from this study were concordant with other studies (Camara et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2013). The multidrug resistance of Strep. spp. represents a public health hazard problem, which may be transmitted from animals to human through dairy products (Minst



Fig. 4. TEM of CNPs with average size 35.7 nm (left), and TEM of CNE with average size 78.8 nm (right)

Table 4. Biofilm formation detection in Strep. spp. by using different methods.

	Tested Strains											
Degree of Biofilm forming	Strep. agalactia			Strep. uberis			Strep. pyogenes			Strep. spp. (total)		
	No. (25)	%	$Mean \pm SE$	No. (10)	%	$Mean \pm SE$	No. (8)	%	$Mean \pm SE$	No. (43)	%	$Mean \pm SE$
Polystyrene method												
Non producers	3	12	0.026 ± 0.006	0	0	0	4	50	0.032 ± 0.004	7	16.3	$0.03{\pm}0.003$
Weak producers	8	32	$0.085 {\pm} 0.003$	2	20	$0.094{\pm}0.007$	2	25	$0.088 {\pm} 0.003$	12	27.9	$0.09{\pm}0.003$
Moderate producers	9	36	0.176 ± 0.005	4	40	0.18 ± 0.009	1	12.5	$0.184{\pm}0.023$	14	32.5	$0.18{\pm}0.005$
Strong producers	5	20	0.282 ± 0.006	4	40	$0.294{\pm}0.013$	1	12.5	0.271 ± 0.014	10	23.3	$0.29{\pm}0.006$
CRA inoculation												
Non producer	11	44		2	20		6	75		19	44.2	
Biofilm producer	14	56		8	80		2	25		24	55.8	

et al., 2012). The ability to biofilm formation may maximize the antibiotic resistance pattern of isolated strains, since biofilm formation confers many advantages to the microbial cells in a food industry environment, such as chemical protection against disinfectants, antimicrobials, physical resistance against desiccation, or mechanical resistance against liquid streams in pipelines (Galié *et al.*, 2018).



Fig. 5. The Minimum Biofilm Eradication concentration (MBEC) of CNPs and CNE on biofilm.

Biofilm formation can be considered as a developmental process, which is characterized by multiple-step structural changes in biofilm architecture and composition, coordinated by a complex net of regulatory genes and environmental signaling via signal transduction (Donlan and Costerton, 2002). In the current study, both qualitative and quantitative methods used to confirm the ability of isolated *Strep.* spp. to produce biofilm. Table 4, clarified that all *Strep. uberis* isolates could form biofilm on polystyrene surface indicating that they were the most hazardous strains among studied species, where 20%, 40% and 40% of them showed week, moderate and strong biofilm formation, respectively. While, 12% from *Strep. agalactia* isolates were not biofilm producer, 32% weak, 36%

moderate and 20% strong producer. Moreover, 36/43 (83.7%) of *Strep.* spp. had biofilm production capabilities. *Strep.* pyogenes has the ability to produce biofilm on a polystyrene and classified as 12.5% for moderate and strong and 25% for weak producer, but the remaining not able to develop biofilm in polystyrene. The current results are similar to that recorded by Courtney *et al.* (2009); Ebrahimi *et al.* (2013) and Alves-Barroco *et al.* (2019).

The CRA method is a fast, reproducible, and presents an advantage: the colonies remain viable in the medium for further analysis (Courtney *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, this method was chosen to improve the ability of isolated *Strep*. spp. to produce biofilm matrix. All moderate and strong biofilm producers' isolates from polystyrene can produce extracellular polysaccharides and give black colony with or without black pigment on CRA as clarified in Table 4 and Fig. 1. This result was in agreement with Genteluci *et al.* (2015); Rosini and Margarit (2015); Young *et al.* (2016) and Moliva *et al.* (2017). Also by CRA method, *Strep. uberis* was the highest biofilm producers (80%), which was higher than *Strep. agalactia* (56%) and *Strep. pyogenes* (25%).

As the correlation between a constant black colony forming phenotype on CRA and presence of *brpA* and *lmb* genes, act as complementary approached for accurate and rapid identification of biofilm matrix bacteria (Mariana *et al.*, 2009). The isolated strains of *Strep*. spp., which proved to produce biofilm in polystyrene and CRA were subjected to PCR as a confirmatory test. All tested strains gave positive *lmb* gene, but with *brpA*, only 70% of the tested strains were positive as clarified in Figs. 2 and 3. *Strep. uberis* was contained both the tested genes, which confirmed the results obtained by the previously mentioned methods. Genes associated with intercellular communication systems, sensing systems, carbohydrate metabolism, and adhesion have been described as important and required for the adaptation of *Streptococci* in biofilms (Roberts *et al.*, 2010; Marks *et al.*, 2014). Among them *brpA* (lytR) encoding *brpA* and *lmb* regulatory protein has been described as important regulator of biofilm formation (Wen *et al.*, 2006, Bitoun *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, the *brpA* gene codes for a predicted surface-associated protein with apparent roles in biofilm formation, autolysis, and cell division. Deficiency of BrpA drastically weakens the ability of the deficient *Strep.* to survive to low pH, oxidative challenge, cell envelope stress and its ability to accumulate and develop into mature biofilms decreases drastically (Doern *et al.*, 2009; Roberts *et al.*, 2010; Bitoun *et al.*, 2014). *Imb* gene, its primary function is lamining-binding protein and promote adherence to host laminin (Pires *et al.*, 2005).



Fig. 6. The velocity of CNPs and CNE to eradicate completely SPB pathogens after application in a dairy farm.

The difficulty of eliminating the biofilm on the surface by conventional control methods, highlights the urgent need for alternative antibacterial and anti-biofilm agents, with much attention in the medical world (Zodrow *et al.*, 2012). Using nano¬particles to inhibit bacterial growth and biofilm formation is an increasingly attractive approach to both prevention and treatment of multidrug resistance and biofilm formation infections (Subhaswaraj and Siddhardha, 2020). CNPs and CNE are natural materials with excellent physicochemical, antimicrobial and biological properties (nontoxicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability), which make them a superior environmentally friendly material and they possess bioactivity that does not harm humans. Due to these unique properties, they can find a wide array of applications (Divya and Jisha, 2018).

In the current study, the average size of CNPs and CNE were 35.7 and 78.8 nm respectively, as shown in Fig. 4. The size of nanomaterial with high surface areas makes it easier to interact with bacteria and inhibition of biofilm formation (Bharde et al., 2008). As a new approach to treat infec-tion, nanoparticles have many advantages, including high surfaceto-volume ratios and nanoscale sizes (Kalishwaralal et al., 2010). Milking utensils made from stainless steel for easily cleaning but with mechanical cleaning and longtime using some scratches are formed, so bacteria and raw materials can stick and form biofilm on them. Therefore, many researches recommended CNPs (Jindal et al., 2016; Swartjes and Veeregowda, 2016; Aliasghari et al., 2016), CNE (Nostro et al., 2009; Iannitelli et al., 2011) or CNE loaded CNPs (Keawchaoon and Yoksan, 2011; Campos et al., 2018). CNPs and CNE find multifaceted applications due to their nontoxicity, biodegradability and antimicrobial properties especially against the multidrug resistant microorganisms, in addition to the nanoparticles properties such as surface and interface effect, small size and quantum size effects. So, they are used in biomedical industries, agriculture, genetic engineering, food industry, environmental pollution control, water treatment, paper manufacture and photography (Campos et al., 2018; Divya and Jisha, 2018).

As shown in Fig. 5, nearly complete destruction to biofilm occurred at 30 ml and 20 ml for CNPs and CNE, respectively, with significant difference in OD between the different concentrations of CNPs and CNE used and control. Chitosan causes bacterial death rather than reduction in bacterial adhesion (Busscher *et al.*, 2008). In the current investigation, biofilm viability after CNPs treatment was lower than that of the control. CNPs may play a crucial role against the pool of persisted cells that in most cases represent the main obstacle to an effective biofilm eradication and disruption of planktonic bacterial cells (Keawchaoon and Yoksan, 2011; lannitelli *et al.*, 2011). Due to the presence of biofilm water channels (pores) for nutrient transportation, nanoparticles may dif¬fuse through the pores and play an antibacterial function in the biofilm (Kalishwarala *et al.*, 2010).

In vitro study as clarified in Fig. 6, could not detect *Strep.* spp. after application of CNPs (30 ml) and CNE (20 ml) at 20 min and 10 min, respectively, which indicated inhibition and bactericidal effect that prevent biofilm formation. This result was in agreement with Aliasghari *et al.* (2016) and Ikono *et al.* (2019), who recorded that nano-chitosan can inhibit the viability of biofilm cells with the effectivity of more than 90%. Previous studies attributed this effect to alteration of bacterial membrane, so inhibit sessile colony formation and deter biofilm architecture completion in dairy utensils (Jindal *et al.*, 2016; Swartjes and Veeregowda, 2016).

The antibacterial properties of nanoparticles distinguish them from their bulk chemical counterparts. One such property is their large surface area to volume ratio, which creates a higher number of functional sites, which can enhance the influence of NPs on a given microorganism and can be applied in diverse industrial environments (Beyth *et al.*, 2015). Another interesting approach consists in exploiting the effectiveness of different nanocomposite materials toward reducing bacterial adhesiveness. For example, the chemical compounds in CNPs and CNE were able to reduce biofilm formation on polystyrene polymer by inhibiting extracellular matrix biosynthesis genes (Nostro *et al.*, 2009; Aliasghari *et al.*, 2016).

Bacterial adhesiveness is influenced by multiple chemical and physical properties of the surface, including hydrophobicity, electrical charge and functional groups, all of which can determine the kinetics of bacterial adhesion (Campoccia *et al.*, 2013). Bacteria-repelling surfaces are usually composed of inert materials where the repellent property is provided by self-assembled monolayers, polymer brushes, hydrogel coatings or by manipulating the surface morphology or topography. Alternatively, a coating of intrinsically antibacterial and anti-biofilm materials as CNPs and CNE confer this repelling activity (Swartjes and Veeregowda, 2016).

Conclusion

The current study concluded that different species of SPB were identified in cow's milk, buffalo's milk and milking utensils, which perform a serious economic and health issue for animal and human. Most of the isolated *Strep.* spp. is biofilm producer, where all *Strep.* ubris, most of *Strep.* agalactia (80%) and few of *Strep.* pyogenes (25%) produce biofilm. The isolated strains resist different chemotherapeutic agents. All of tested strains harbored *lmb*, while only 70% have *brpA* genes. CNPs and CNE are considered efficient product for elimination of SPB in milking utensils even in low concentrations and within 10- 20 minutes.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

References

Abd EL-Tawab, A.A., Nahla, A. Abou El-Roos, Fatma, I. El-Hofy, Hass-

naa, E.A., 2017. Molecular studies regarding to virulence factors of *Streptococcus* species isolated from raw milk. Benha Vet. Med. J. 32, 145- 152.

- Ahmed, W., Neubauer, H., Tomaso, H., Ibrahim, E., Fatma, Monecke, S., Awad, A., Hotzel, H., 2020. Characterization of *Staphylococci* and *Streptococci* Isolated from Milk of Bovides with Mastitis in Egypt. Pathogens 9, 381.
- Alekish, M.O., Al-Qudah, K.M., Al-saleh, A., 2013. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from bovine mastitis in northern Jordan. Revue Méd. Vét. 164, 319-326.
- Aliasghari, A., Khorasgani, M.R., Vaezifar, S., Rahimi, F., Younesi, H., Khoroushi, M., 2016. Evaluation of antibacterial efficiency of chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles on cariogenic *Streptococci* : An in vitro study. Iran J. Microbiol. 8, 93–100
- Alves-Barroco, C., Roma-Rodriguesa, C., Balasubramanian, N., Guimaraes, A., Ferreira-Carvalho, B.T., Muthukumaran, J., Nunes, D., Fortunato, E., Martins, R., Santos-Silvad, T., Figueiredo, A.M.S., Fernandesa, A.R., Santos-Sanches, I., 2019. Biofilm development and computational screening for new putative inhibitors of a homolog of the regulatory protein BrpA in *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. Dysgalactiae. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 309, 169–181.
- Ariffin, S.M., Hasmadi, N., Syawari, N.M., Sukiman, M.Z., Ariffin, M.F.T., Hian, C.M., Ghazali M.F., 2019. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Escherichia coli* in Dairy Goats with Clinical and Subclinical Mastitis. J. of Animal Health and Production 7, 32.
- Beres, S.B., Carroll, R.K., Shea, P.R., Sitkiewicz, I., Martinez-Gutierrez, J.C., Low, D.E., Mcgeer, A., Willey, B.M., Green, K., Tyrrell, G.J., 2010. Molecular complexity of successive bacterial epidemics deconvoluted by comparative pathogenomics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107, 4371-4376.
- Beyth N., Houri-haddad Y., Domb A., Khan W., Hazan R., 2015. Alternative antimicrobial approach: nano-antimicrobial materials. 2015: Article ID 246012 [https://doi.org/10.1155 /2015/ 246012.
- Bharde AA, Parikh RY, Baidakova M, Samuel Jouen, Baetrice Hannoyer, Toshiaki Enoki, Prasad, B.L.V., Yogesh S. Shouche, Satish Ogale, Murali Sastry, 2008. Bacteria-mediated precursor-dependent biosynthesis of superparamagnetic iron oxide and iron sulfide nanoparticles. Langmuir 24, 5787–5794.
- Bitoun, J.P., Liao, S., Xie, G.G., Beatty, W.L., Wen, Z.T., 2014. Deficiency of BrpB causes major defects in cell division, stress responses and biofilm formation by *Streptococcus mutans*. Microbiol. (United Kingdom) 160, 67–78.
- Borges A., Meireles A., Mergulhão F., Melo L., Simões M., 2020. Biofilm control with enzymes. In: Recent Trends in Biofilm Science and Technology, Chapter 11. Academic Press. pp. 249-271.
- Boutet, P., Detilleux, J., Motkin, M., Deliege, M., Piraux, E., Depinois, A., Debliquy, P., Mainil, J., Czaplicki, G., Lekeux, P., 2005. A comparison of somatic cell count and antimicrobial susceptibility of subclinical mastitis pathogens in organic and conventional dairy herds. Ann. Méd. Vét. 149, 173-182.
- Busscher, H.J., Engels, E., Dijkstra, R.J., van der Mei, H.C., 2008. Influence of a chitosan on oral bacterial adhesion and growth in vitro. Eur. J. Oral Sci. 116, 493–495.
- Calvo, P., Remunanae-Lopez, C., Vila-Jato, J.L., Alonso, M.J., 1997. Novel hydrophilic chitosan polyethylene oxide nanoparticles as protein carriers. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 63, 125–132.
- Camara, M., Dieng, A., Boye, C.S.B., 2013. Antibiotic Susceptibility of Streptococcus pyogenes Isolated from Respiratory Tract Infections in Dakar, Senegal. Microbiology Insights 6, 71–75.
- Campoccia, D., Montanaro, L., Renata, C., 2013. Biomaterials A review of the clinical implications of anti-infective biomaterials and infection-resistant surfaces. 34, 8018–8029.
- Campos, E.V.R., Proença, P.L.F., Oliveira, J.L., Pereira, A.E.S., Ribeiro, L.N.M., Fabrício, O.F., Gonçalves, K.C., Ricardo, A., Tatiane Pasquoto-Stigliani, Renata Lima, Cirano C Melville, Jaqueline F Della Vechia, Daniel J Andrade, Leonardo F Fraceto, 2018. Carvacrol and linalool co-loaded in β-cyclodextrin-grafted chitosan nanoparticles as sustainable biopesticide aiming pest control. Scientific Reports 8, 7623.
- CLSI, (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute), 2015. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Twenty First Informational Supplements, M100 S25, Jan. 2015.

Cobo-Angel, C.G., Jaramillo-Jaramillo, A.S., Palacio-Aguilera, M., Ju-

rado-Vargas L., Calvo-Villegas, E.A., Ospina-Loaiza, D.A., Rodriguez-Lecompte, J.C., Sanchez, J., Zadoksm R., Ceballos-Marquez, A., 2018. *Streptococcus agalactiae* is not always an obligate intramammary pathogen: Molecular epidemiology of GBS from milk, feces and environment in Colombian dairy herds. PLoS One 13, e0208990.

- Cobo-Angel, C.G., Jaramillo-Jaramillo, A.S., Palacio-Aguilera, M., Jurado-Vargas, L., Calvo-Villegas, E.A., Ospina-Loaiza, D.A., Rodriguez-Lecompte, J.C., Sanchez, J., Zadoks, R., Ceballos-Marquez, A., 2019. Potential group B *Streptococcus* interspecies transmission between cattle and people in Colombian dairy farms. Scientific Reports 9, 14025.
- Courtney, H.S., Ofek, I., Thomas Penfound, Victor Nizet, Morgan A. Pence, Bernd Kreikemeyer, Andreas Podbielski, David L. Hasty, James B. Dale, 2009. Relationship between expression of the family of M proteins and lipoteichoic acid to hydrophobicity and biofilm formation in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e4166.
- Cunningham, M.W., 2008. Pathogenesis of group A Streptococcal infections and their sequelae. Hot Topics in Infection and Immunity in Children IV, 34, 112-119.
- Divya, K. , Jisha, M. S. 2018. Chitosan nanoparticles preparation and applications. Environ. Chem. Lett. 16,101–112.
- Doern, C.D., Roberts, A.L., Hong, W., Nelson, J., Lukomski, S., Swords, W.E., Sean, R., 2009. Biofilm formation by group A *Streptococcus*: a role for the *Streptococcal* regulator of virulence (Srv) and *Streptococcal* cysteine protease (SpeB). Microbiology 155, 46–52.
- Donlan, R.M., Costerton, J.W., 2002. Biofilms survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15, 167–193.
- Dufour, S., Labrie, J., Jacques, M., 2019. The Mastitis Pathogens Culture Collection. Microbio. Resource Announcement J. 8 (15) e00133-19.
- Ebrahimi, A., Moatamedi, A., Lotfalian, S., Mirshokraei, P., 2013. Biofilm formation, hemolysin production and antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from the mastitis milk of dairy cows in shahrekord district, Iran. Veterinary Research Forum. 4, 269-272.
- El-Jakee J., Hableel, H.S., Kandil, M., Hassan, O. F., Eman, A. K., Marouf, S. A., 2013. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from Mastitic Cows and Ewes in Egypt. Global Veterinaria 10, 264-270.
- Ferreira, F.A., Souza, R.R., Bonelli, R.R., Americo, M.A., Fracalanzza, S.E.L., Figueiredo, A.M.S., 2012. Comparison of in vitro and in vivo systems to study ica-independent *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. J. Microbiol. Methods 88, 393–398.
- Galié, S., García-Gutiérrez, C., Miguélez, E.M., Villar, C.J., Lombó, F., 2018. Biofilms in the Food Industry: Health Aspects and Control Methods. Front Microbiol. 9, 898.
- Genteluci, G.L., Silva, L.G., Souza, M.C., *et al.*, 2015. Assessment and characterization of biofilm formation among human isolates of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. equisimilis. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 305, 937–947.
- Ghosh, V., Mukherjeem, A., Chandrasekaran, N., 2013. Ultrasonic emulsification of food-grade nanoemulsion formulation and evaluation of its bactericidal activity. Ultrason Sonochem. 20, 338-344.
- Hawari, A.D., Al-Dabbas, F., 2008. Prevalence and distribution of mastitis pathogens and their resistance against antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Jordan. American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences 3, 36-44.
- Iannitelli, A., Grande, R., Di Stefano, A., Di Giulio, M., Sozio, P., Bessa, L.J., Sara, L., Cecilia, P., Protasi, F., Cellini, L., 2011. Potential Antibacterial Activity of Carvacrol-Loaded Poly (DL-lactide-coglycolide) (PLGA) Nanoparticles against Microbial Biofilm. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 12, 5039-5051.
- Ikono, R., Vibriani, A., Wibowo, I. Kurniawan Eko Saputro, Wibias Muliawan, Boy Muchlis Bachtiar, Etik Mardliyati, Endang Winiati Bachtiar, Nurul Taufiqu Rochman, Hideaki Kagami, Li Xianqi, Tokiko Nagamura-Inoue, Arinobu Tojo, 2019. Nanochitosan antimicrobial activity against *Streptococcus mutans* and Candida albicans dual-species biofilms. BMC Res Notes 12, 383.
- Jadhav, S, Shah, R, Bhave, M., Palombo, E., 2013. Inhibitory activity of yarrow essential oil on Listeria planktonic cells and biofilms. Food Control 29, 125-130.
- Jindal, S., Anand, S., Huang, K., Goddard, J., Metzger, L., Amamcharla,

J., 2016. Evaluation of modified stainless steel surfaces targeted to reduce biofilm formation by common milk sporeformers. J. Dairy Sci. 99, 9502–9513.

- Kaczorek, E., Małaczewska, J., Wójcik, R., Siwicki, A.K., 2017. Biofilm production and other virulence factors in *Streptococcus* spp. isolated from clinical cases of bovine mastitis in Poland. BMC Veterinary Research 13, 398.
- Kalishwaralal, K., BarathManiKanth, S., Pandian, S.R., Deepak, V., Gurunathan, S., 2010. Silver nanoparticles impede the biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 9, 340–344.
- Kaur, H., Kumar, P., Ray, P., Kaur, J., Chakraborti, A., 2009. Biofilm formation in clinical isolates of group B *Streptococci* from north India. Microb Pathog 46, 321-327.
- Keawchaoon, L., Yoksan, R., 2011. Preparation, characterization and in vitro release study of carvacrol loaded chitosan nanoparticles. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 84, 163–171.
- Khan, I., Ullah, H., Ahmad, S., Muhammad, S., Nisa, S., Irshad, M. Idrees, M, Anwar Saeed, A. 2013. Susceptibility of *Streptococcus pyogenes* against Various Antibiotics. App. Sci. Report. 4, 2013: 181-183.
- Kia, G., Mehdi, G., Keyvan, R., 2014. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of *Streptococcus* spp. in cows with mastitis in Germi, Iran Animal and Veterinary Sciences 2, 31-35.
- Mariana, N.S., Salman, S.A., Neela V., Zamberi S., 2009. Evaluation of modified Congo red agar for detection of biofilm produced by clinical isolates of methicillin–resistance *Staphylococcus aureus*. African Journal of Microbiology Research 3, 330-338.
- Marks, L.R., Mashburn-Warren, L., Federle, M.J., Hakansson, A.P., 2014. Streptococcus pyogenes biofilm growth in vitro and in vivo and its role in colonization, virulence, and genetic exchange. J. Infect. Dis. 210, 25–34.
- Minst, K., Märtlbauer, E., Miller, T., Meyer, C., 2012. Short communication: *Streptococcus* species isolated from mastitis milk samples in Germany and their resistance to antimicrobial agents. J. Dairy Sci. 95, 6957–6962.
- Moges, N., Asfawy, Y., Belihu, K., Tadesse, A., 2011. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Mastitis Pathogens from Smallholder Dairy Herds in and Around Gondar, Ethiopia. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances 10, 1616-1622.
- Moliva, M.V., Cerioli, F., Reinoso, E.B., 2017. Evaluation of environmental and nutritional factors and sua gene on in vitro biofilm formation of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates. Microb Pathog. 107, 144–148.
- Nostro, A., Marino, A., Blanco, A.R., Cellini, L., Di Giulio, M., Pizzimenti, F., Sudano Roccaro, A., Bisognano, G., 2009. In vitro activity of carvacrol against *staphylococcal* preformed biofilm by liquid and vapour contact. J. Med. Microbiol. 58, 791–797.
- Nilsson, M., Givskov, M., Twetman, S., Tolker-Nielsen, T., 2019. Inactivation of the pgmA Gene in *Streptococcus mutans* Significantly Decreases Biofilm-Associated Antimicrobial Tolerance. Microorganisms 7, 310.
- Nunes, S.F., Lina M., Cavaco, L.M., Cristina L.B., 2007. Antimicrobial susceptibility traits of subclinical bovine mastitis pathogens in Portugal, RPCV 102, 275-280.
- Oppegaard, O., Skrede, S., Mylvaganam, H., Kittang, B.R., 2020. Emerging Threat of Antimicrobial Resistance in β-Hemolytic *Streptococci.* Front. Microbiol. 11, 797.
- Pitkala, A., Koort, J., Bjorkroth. J., 2008. Identification and antimicrobial resistance of *Streptococcus uberis* and *Streptococcus parauberis* isolated from bovine milk samples. J. Dairy Sci. 91, 4075–4081.
- Quinn, P., Bryan, M., Carter, M., Carter, G.R., 2004. Clinical Veterinary Microbiology, Mosby Publishing, St Louis, United States: 43-55, 327-344.

- Roberts, A. L., Connolly, K. L., Doern, C. D., Holder, R. C., Reid, S. D., 2010. Loss of the group a *Streptococcus* regulator srv decreases biofilm formation in vivo in an otitis media model of infection. Infect. Immun. pp. 4800–4808.
- Rosini, R., Margarit, I., 2015. Biofilm formation by *Streptococcus agalactiae*: influence of environmental conditions and implicated virulence factors. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 5, 6.
- Rüegsegger, F.J., Ruf, J.A., Tschuor, A., Sigrist, Y., Rosskopf, M., Hssig, M., 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens of dairy cows in Switzerland. Schweizer Archiv für Tierheilkunde, Band 156, Heft 10, 483–488.
- Pires, R., Rolo, D., Gama-Norton, L., Morais, A., Lito, L., Salgado, M.J., et al., 2005. Group A Streptococci from carriage and disease in Portugal: evolution of antimicrobial resistance and T antigenic types during 2000-2002. Microb. Drug Resist. 11, 360– 370.
- Sabry, M.S. Yara, 2015. Occurrence of pathogenic *Streptococci* n milk and soft cheese. Thesis of Food Hygiene Dep. Fac. Of Vet. Med. Assiut University, Egypt.
- Santos, L.V., Silva, L.G., Martini, C.L., Anjos, I.H., Maia, M.M., Genteluci, G. L., Sant'Anna, V., Ferreira, A.M., Couceiro, J.N., Figueiredo, A.M., Ferreira-Carvalho, B.T., 2020. Low lineage diversity and increased virulence of group C *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. Equisimilis. Journal of Medical Microbiology. 69, 576– 586.
- Song, X., Huang, X., Xu, H., Zhang, C., Chen, S., Liu, F., Guan, S., Zhang, S., Zhu, K., Wu, C., 2020. The prevalence of pathogens causing bovine mastitis and their associated risk factors in 15 large dairy farms in China: An observational study. Veterinary Microbiology 247, 08757.
- Stepanović, S., Vuković, D., Hola, V., Di Bonaventura, G., Djukić, S., Cirković, I., Ruzicka, F., 2007. Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by staphylococci. APMIS 115, 891–899.
- Subhaswaraj, P., Siddhardha, B., 2020. Nanoemulsions for Antimicrobial and Anti-biofilm Applications. In: Nanostructures for Antimicrobial and Antibiofilm Applications. Nanotechnology in the Life Sciences (Prasad R., Siddhardha B., Dyavaiah M. eds). Springer, Cham. pp 347-373. Swartjes, T.M., Veeregowda, D.H., 2016. Implications for directionality of nanoscale forces in bacterial attachment. 1 120–126.
- Tian, X.Y., Zheng, N., Han, R.W., Ho, H., Wang, J., Wang, Y.T., Wang, S.Q., Li, H.G., Liu, H.W., Yu, Z.N., 2019. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes of *Streptococcus* isolated from dairy cows with mastitis in China. Microb. Pathog. 131, 33–39.
- Wen, Z.T., Baker, H.V., Burne, R.A., 2006. Influence of BrpA on critical virulence attributes of *Streptococcus mutans*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 188, 2983–2992.
- Wyder, A.B., Boss, R., Naskova, J., Kaufmann, T., Steiner, A., Graber, H.U., 2011. Streptococcus spp. and related bacteria: Their identification and their pathogenic potential for chronic mastitis—A molecular approach. Res. Vet. Sci. 91, 349–357.
- Young, C., Holder, R.C., Dubois, L., Reid, S.D., 2016. Streptococcus pyogenes Biofilm. In Ferretti JJ, Stevens DL, Fischetti VA (ed), Streptococcus pyogenes: basic biology to clinical manifestations. The University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK. pp. 1–26.
- Zodrow, K.R., Schiffman, J.D., Elimelech, M., 2012. Biodegradable polymer (PLGA) coatings featuring cinnamaldehyde and carvacrol mitigate biofilm formation. Langmuir. 28, 13993–13999.